IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

JOHN W SHELTON

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-05580-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

SCHUSTER GRAIN CO INC

Employer

OC: 04/12/15

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able and Available Iowa Code § 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the April 24, 2015, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on June 22, 2015. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Keith Lamfers, Director of Safety and Compliance.

ISSUES:

Did the claimant file a timely appeal?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full time as a truck driver beginning on February 19, 2015 through March 31, 2015 when was discharged. The claimant had a prior stint of employment from August of 2013 through December of 2014 when he was discharged after suffering a heart attack and being unable to work. The claimant was hospitalized with heart issues again in March 2015 and was told by his physician that he would not clear him to drive a truck again until he had passed a sleep apnea test. As of the date of the hearing the claimant still had not undergone the sleep apnea test. The only work he performed for this employer was as a truck driver, which he is now not medically able to perform.

The claimant moved and did not provide IWD with his new mailing address until the representative's decisions had been mailed to him. He does not read well and had to seek help in replying to the decision and filing his appeal. The claimant filed the appeal as soon as he found someone to help him read and understand it.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether the claimant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of § 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disgualified for benefits pursuant to § 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to § 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding § 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision because the decision was not received in a timely fashion due to his move. Additionally, the claimant does not read well and needed assistance with filing an appeal. Without timely notice of a disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See *Smith v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work.

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in § 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as

defined in § 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of § 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under § 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

The claimant has primarily work as an over-the-road driver. His appeal letter shows his intent to get back to that type of work. He is not physically able to do that work currently due his physician requiring he pass a sleep apnea test. Under those circumstances the administrative law judge cannot find the claimant is able to and available for work. Accordingly, benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The April 24, 2015 (reference 02) decision is affirmed. The claimant did file a timely appeal. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective April 12, 2015. Benefits are denied.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	

tkh/css