BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building

Fourth floor
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

	:
SHAKENYAH O GRISBY	: HEARING NUMBER: 17BUI-07535
Claimant	:
and	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION
J & L INVESTMENTS INC	
Employer	· :
N (OTICE
	(1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the ne date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO of the date of the Board's decision.
A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the spec is denied, a petition may be filed in DISTRICT C	ific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request OURT within 30 days of the date of the denial.
SECTION: 96.5-2-A	
DE	CISION
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED	D IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE
The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board, one member dissenting, finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is AFFIRMED .	
<u> </u>	shley R. Koopmans
As	shiey IV. Noophians

James M. Strohman

DISSENTING OPINION OF KIM D. SCHMETT:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the
administrative law judge's decision. I would find the Claimant was terminated for being involved in a
'yelling' match with a co-worker for which she received two prior warnings against this same type of
behavior. Based on this record, I would deny benefits until such time she has worked in and has been
paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise
eligible. See, Iowa Code section 96.5(2)"a".

Kim D. Schmett

The Employer submitted additional evidence to the Board which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the additional evidence was reviewed for the purposes of determining whether admission of the evidence was warranted despite it not being presented at hearing, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision. There is no sufficient cause why the new and additional information submitted by the Employer was not presented at hearing. Accordingly all the new and additional information submitted has not been relied upon in making our decision, and has received no weight whatsoever, but rather has been wholly disregarded.

Kim D. Schmett
Ashley R. Koopmans
James M. Strohman

AMG/fnv