

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RONALD MAXHEIMER
Claimant

APPEAL NO: 09A-UI-16151-BT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

HAGIE MANUFACTURING CO
Employer

OC: 04/19/09
Claimant: Appellant (3)

Iowa Code § 96.5-7 - Receipt of Vacation Pay

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Ronald Maxheimer (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated October 19, 2009, reference 01, which held that he was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits for the two-week period ending May 9, 2009 due to the receipt of vacation pay from Hagie Manufacturing Company (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on November 23, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Dave Maxheimer, Human Resources Manager. Claimant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the vacation pay was properly deducted?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was laid off on April 21, 2009 and was held not eligible for the two-week period ending May 9, 2009 due to the receipt of vacation pay. After his separation, he received severance pay for seven days in the amount of \$683.20, which was paid through April 30, 2009. This amounts to a daily rate of \$97.60 per day. The claimant was also paid 104 hours of vacation pay in the amount of \$1,268.00. The vacation pay represented 13 days of vacation and was paid through May 19, 2009. He is therefore not eligible to receive unemployment benefits through the date of May 19, 2009.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue to be determined is whether the vacation pay was properly deducted. For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the vacation pay was not deducted for the correct period.

Iowa Code § 96.5-7 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits: ...

7. Vacation pay.

a. When an employer makes a payment or becomes obligated to make a payment to an individual for vacation pay, or for vacation pay allowance, or as pay in lieu of vacation, such payment or amount shall be deemed "wages" as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, and shall be applied as provided in paragraph "c" hereof.

b. When, in connection with a separation or layoff of an individual, the individual's employer makes a payment or payments to the individual, or becomes obligated to make a payment to the individual as, or in the nature of, vacation pay, or vacation pay allowance, or as pay in lieu of vacation, and within ten calendar days after notification of the filing of the individual's claim, designates by notice in writing to the department the period to which the payment shall be allocated; provided, that if such designated period is extended by the employer, the individual may again similarly designate an extended period, by giving notice in writing to the department not later than the beginning of the extension of the period, with the same effect as if the period of extension were included in the original designation. The amount of a payment or obligation to make payment, is deemed "wages" as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, and shall be applied as provided in paragraph "c" of this subsection 7.

c. Of the wages described in paragraph "a" (whether or not the employer has designated the period therein described), or of the wages described in paragraph "b", if the period therein described has been designated by the employer as therein provided, a sum equal to the wages of such individual for a normal workday shall be attributed to, or deemed to be payable to the individual with respect to, the first and each subsequent workday in such period until such amount so paid or owing is exhausted. Any individual receiving or entitled to receive wages as provided herein shall be ineligible for benefits for any week in which the sums, so designated or attributed to such normal workdays, equal or exceed the individual's weekly benefit amount. If the amount so designated or attributed as wages is less than the weekly benefit amount of such individual, the individual's benefits shall be reduced by such amount.

d. Notwithstanding contrary provisions in paragraphs "a", "b", and "c", if an individual is separated from employment and is scheduled to receive vacation payments during the period of unemployment attributable to the employer and if the employer does not designate the vacation period pursuant to paragraph "b", then payments made by the employer to the individual or an obligation to make a payment by the employer to the individual for vacation pay, vacation pay allowance or pay in lieu of vacation shall not be deemed wages as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, for any period in excess of one week and such payments or the value of such obligations shall not be deducted for any period in excess of one week from the unemployment benefits the individual is otherwise entitled to receive under this chapter. However, if the employer designates more than one week as the vacation period pursuant to paragraph "b", the vacation pay, vacation pay allowance, or pay in lieu of vacation shall be considered wages and shall be deducted from benefits.

e. If an employer pays or is obligated to pay a bonus to an individual at the same time the employer pays or is obligated to pay vacation pay, a vacation pay allowance, or pay

in lieu of vacation, the bonus shall not be deemed wages for purposes of determining benefit eligibility and amount, and the bonus shall not be deducted from unemployment benefits the individual is otherwise entitled to receive under this chapter.

The claimant received \$1,268.00 in vacation pay for the 13-day period ending May 19, 2009. He is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits during that time.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated October 19, 2009, reference 01, is modified in favor of the respondent. Vacation pay was not deducted correctly. The claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits through May 19, 2009.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/css