IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

RON B SANDUSKY 3365 SUNSET PARK CIR DUBUQUE IA 52002

COLOR BOX LLC ATTN HUMAN RESOURCES 2150 KERPER BLVD DUBUQUE IA 52001 Appeal Number: 04A-UI-01980-B4T

OC: 02-01-04 R: 04 Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Adm	inistrative Law Judge	e)
(Dec	ision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-7 – Whether Vacation Pay was Deducted for the Correct Period

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Ron D. Sandusky appealed from an unemployment insurance decision dated February 19, 2004, reference 01, that held, in effect, the claimant was not eligible to receive benefits for the one week ending February 7, 2004 because he was receiving or entitled to receive vacation pay considered to be wages which would equal or exceed his weekly benefit amount.

A consolidated telephone conference hearing was scheduled and held on March 11, 2004, pursuant to due notice. Ron B. Sandusky participated. No one responded on behalf of Color Box LLC to the notice of hearing mailed to the employer by the Appeals Section by providing the name and telephone number of a representative to be contacted. The employer was not

represented during the hearing held. Official notice was taken of the unemployment insurance decision, bearing reference 01, together with the pages attached thereto (6 pages in all). Official notice was also taken of the unemployment insurance decision, bearing reference 02, together with the pages attached thereto (4 pages in all).

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having examined the entire record in this matter, finds that: Ron B. Sandusky was employed with Color Box LLC from March 5, 2001 through January 30, 2004 as a bailer. Color Box LLC is engaged in the manufacturing of boxes for distribution.

The claimant was separated from his employment on January 20, 2004 and filed an initial claim for benefits having an effective date of February 1, 2004.

Subsequently, a protest was filed on behalf of the employer as shown by page 3 attached to the decision bearing reference 01. Said decision provided information that the claimant received vacation pay in the amount of \$495.60 to be applied to the period from February 2, 2004 through February 6, 2004. The vacation pay was applied at the rate of \$99.12 per day during the period of time from February 2, 2004 through February 6, 2004 which was the week following the claimant's termination.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code Section 96.5-7 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits: ...

- 7. Vacation pay.
- a. When an employer makes a payment or becomes obligated to make a payment to an individual for vacation pay, or for vacation pay allowance, or as pay in lieu of vacation, such payment or amount shall be deemed "wages" as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, and shall be applied as provided in paragraph "c" hereof.
- b. When, in connection with a separation or layoff of an individual, the individual's employer makes a payment or payments to the individual, or becomes obligated to make a payment to the individual as, or in the nature of, vacation pay, or vacation pay allowance, or as pay in lieu of vacation, and within ten calendar days after notification of the filing of the individual's claim, designates by notice in writing to the department the period to which the payment shall be allocated; provided, that if such designated period is extended by the employer, the individual may again similarly designate an extended period, by giving notice in writing to the department not later than the beginning of the extension of the period, with the same effect as if the period of extension were included in the original designation. The amount of a payment or obligation to make payment, is deemed "wages" as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, and shall be applied as provided in paragraph "c" of this subsection 7.
- c. Of the wages described in paragraph "a" (whether or not the employer has designated the period therein described), or of the wages described in paragraph "b", if the period therein described has been designated by the employer as therein provided, a sum equal to the wages of such individual for a normal workday shall be attributed to,

or deemed to be payable to the individual with respect to, the first and each subsequent workday in such period until such amount so paid or owing is exhausted. Any individual receiving or entitled to receive wages as provided herein shall be ineligible for benefits for any week in which the sums, so designated or attributed to such normal workdays, equal or exceed the individual's weekly benefit amount. If the amount so designated or attributed as wages is less than the weekly benefit amount of such individual, the individual's benefits shall be reduced by such amount.

- d. Notwithstanding contrary provisions in paragraphs "a", "b", and "c", if an individual is separated from employment and is scheduled to receive vacation payments during the period of unemployment attributable to the employer and if the employer does not designate the vacation period pursuant to paragraph "b", then payments made by the employer to the individual or an obligation to make a payment by the employer to the individual for vacation pay, vacation pay allowance or pay in lieu of vacation shall not be deemed wages as defined in section 96.19, subsection 41, for any period in excess of one week and such payments or the value of such obligations shall not be deducted for any period in excess of one week from the unemployment benefits the individual is otherwise entitled to receive under this chapter. However, if the employer designates more than one week as the vacation period pursuant to paragraph "b", the vacation pay, vacation pay allowance, or pay in lieu of vacation shall be considered wages and shall be deducted from benefits.
- e. If an employer pays or is obligated to pay a bonus to an individual at the same time the employer pays or is obligated to pay vacation pay, a vacation pay allowance, or pay in lieu of vacation, the bonus shall not be deemed wages for purposes of determining benefit eligibility and amount, and the bonus shall not be deducted from unemployment benefits the individual is otherwise entitled to receive under this chapter.

Section 871 IAC 24.16 entitled Vacation Pay provides:

(1) If the employer properly notifies the Department within ten days after the notification of the filing of the claim that an amount of vacation pay, either paid or owed, is to be applied to a specific vacation period, a sum equal to the wages of the individual for a normal workday shall be applied to the first and each subsequent workday of the designated period of the designated vacation period until the amount of the vacation pay is exhausted.

Proper application of the vacation pay in the amount of \$495.60 would preclude the claimant from receiving benefits for the benefit week ending February 7, 2004 because the amount received would be in excess of the claimant's weekly benefit amount.

The administrative law judge concludes that the vacation pay was properly applied to the benefit week ending February 7, 2004 and benefits are denied within the intent and meaning of lowa Code Section 96.5-7 and the foregoing section of the lowa Administrative Code.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated February 19, 2004, reference 01, is affirmed. Ron B. Sandusky is not eligible to receive benefits for the one week ending February 7, 2004.