# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**WILLIE B RANDLE** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-07062-H2T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**FOCUS SERVICES LLC** 

Employer

OC: 05-20-12

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the June 11, 2012, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on July 10, 2012. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Katherine Rossow, Regional Recruiter.

# ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged due to job connected misconduct?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as an agent part time beginning August 16, 2011 through May 23, 2012 when he was discharged. The claimant was discharged due to excessive absenteeism. On May 21 the claimant was warned that he had not fulfilled all of his required hours for the week and that his failure to do so was placing his job in jeopardy. On May 23 the claimant left work early without permission due to personal matters. His early leaving resulted in an accumulation of attendance points that led to his discharge. Mr. White did not give the claimant permission to leave work early. The claimant had at least one prior warning on January 2, 2012.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. *Higgins v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 350 N.W.2d 187 (Iowa 1984).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified as to when and why the employee is unable to report to work. The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of unexcused absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

## **DECISION:**

tkh/css

The June 11, 2012 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	