

**BEFORE THE  
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
Lucas State Office Building  
Fourth floor  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319**

---

**AMANDA H KERSH**

Claimant

and

**PARCO LTD**

Employer

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**HEARING NUMBER: 20B-UI-06149**

**EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
DECISION**

**NOTICE**

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A **REHEARING REQUEST** shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION: 96.4-3, 96.19-38B**

**DECISION**

**UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

We write further to provide the following information to the Claimant. It appears that the Claimant has applied for PUA and was approved with a starting date of for the PUA benefit of June 7, 2020. Based on information available to us it appears the Claimant has requested that the PUA period start at the week she first applied for benefits. We are informed that the outcome of that request, and other such "redeterminations," is awaiting technical changes that have to be made to the IWD computer system. Unfortunately, we have no means of speeding up this process.

We do not at this time address possible waiver of the FPUC overpayment because if the Claimant is approved for backdated PUA over the same period then she will owe no overpayment. In general Claimants have a right to appeal PUA determinations. That appeal process, however, is not the same as this case. If the Claimant should be denied backdating, in whole or part, and the Claimant wish to appeal PUA, or reapply with different proof, the Claimant should do so following the guidance from IWD. This decision we issue today does not

decide the PUA issue. This means, of course, that even though our decision today says benefits are denied, this is for regular benefits and does **not** change the Claimant's ability to collect PUA.

---

Ashley R. Koopmans

---

James M. Strohman

---

Myron R. Linn

RRA/fnv