IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

IDELFONSO SAUCEDA II 1007 - 2ND ST BATAVIA IA 52533

CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS CORP °/_o FRICK UC EXPRESS PO BOX 283 ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-00107-BT OC: 11/27/05 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit 871 IAC 24.25(4) - Voluntary Quit Without Good Cause Section 96.3-7 - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Cargill Meat Solutions Corp. (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated December 20, 2005, reference 01, which held that Idelfonso Sauceda (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on January 26, 2006. The claimant did not comply with the hearing notice instructions and did not call in to provide a telephone number at which he could be contacted and, therefore, did not participate. The employer participated through Erica Bleck, Human Resources Associate. Employer's Exhibits One and Two were admitted into evidence.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a full-time general laborer in the export department from July 14, 2003 through his last day of work on September 9, 2005. The employer's attendance policy provides an employee is considered a voluntary quit if he is a no-call/no-show for three consecutive workdays. The claimant stopped reporting to work after September 9, 2005, and after three days of no-call/no-show, he was considered to have voluntarily quit his employment.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective November 27, 2005, and has received benefits after the separation from employment in the amount of \$2,360.00.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify him to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if he voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code section 96.5-1.

Rule 871 IAC 24.25 provides that, in general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant demonstrated his intent to quit and acted to carry it out by failing to call or return to work after September 9, 2005.

871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

The claimant was deemed a voluntary quit on September 16, 2005 after three days of no-call/no-show. It is the claimant's burden to prove that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify him. Iowa Code section 96.6-2. The claimant failed to participate in the hearing, and there is no evidence to establish that he quit with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are therefore denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of Iowa law.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated December 20, 2005, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until he has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$2.360.00.

sdb/kjw