IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

MAGGIE AGUILAR Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-18892-S2-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PRECISION FOODS INC

Employer

OC: 05/09/21 Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the August 19, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon her voluntary quit. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 18, 2021. Claimant Maggie Aguilar participated and testified. Employer Precision Foods, Inc. participated through human resources manager Angela Draves.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full time as a customer care service representative from January 14, 2019, until this employment ended on May 4, 2021, when she voluntarily quit.

Clamant suffered from a non-work related illness. She took a leave of absence effective April 1, 2021. On May 3, 2021, claimant sent a text message to human resources manager Angela Draves, and notified her she was resigning effective immediately due to her health issues. Claimant did not request an accommodation from employer. Claimant sought medical condition for her mental and physical symptoms, but she was not advised by a medical provider to quit her job. Claimant's job was not in jeopardy and continuing work was available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

lowa Code section 96.5(1)d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:

(a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;

(b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;

(c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or

(d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The court in *Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd.*, 695 N.W.2d 44 (lowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that:

"Insofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." *White v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing *Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Claimant decided to resign her position to focus on her mental and physical health issues. Claimant spoke to her doctor about her symptoms, but was not advised to resign. Claimant did not speak to employer about an accommodation prior to resigning, as she did not believe any accommodation would have been available. Work would have continued to be available to her had she not resigned.

Claimant has not established that the medical condition was work related or that treating medical personnel advised her to quit the job, as is her burden. Nor did she request accommodation from the employer before quitting. While claimant's leaving may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The August 19, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Stephane alkesson

Stephanie Adkisson Administrative Law Judge

October 27, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

sa/scn