# BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

:

**TAMMY L SMITH** 

**HEARING NUMBER:** 11B-UI-12966

Claimant,

.

and

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

DECISION

**CLEMONS INC OF OTTUMWA** 

Employer.

## NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-1

### DECISION

#### **UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. Two members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. Those members are not in agreement. Monique F. Kuester would affirm and John A. Peno would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge.

Since there is not agreement, the decision of the administrative law judge is affirmed by operation of law. The Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law of the administrative law judge are adopted by the Board and that decision is **AFFIRMED** by operation of law. See, 486 IAC 3.3(3).

| Monique F. Kuester |  |
|--------------------|--|

#### **DISSENTING OPINION OF JOHN A. PENO:**

I respectfully dissent from the decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge. The record establishes that one of the reasons the Claimant guit was due to the 25% pay cut the Claimant experienced, which I consider to be a substantial change in her contract of

871 IAC 24.26(1) provides:

The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifying issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health, or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine of the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

"Change in the contract of hire" means a substantial change in the terms or conditions of employment. See Wiese v. Iowa Dept. of Job Service, 389 N.W.2d 676, 679 (Iowa 1986). Generally, a substantial reduction in hours or pay will give an employee good cause for quitting. See Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Board, 433 N.W.2d 700 (Iowa 1988). In analyzing such cases, the Iowa Courts look at the impact on the Claimant, rather than the Employer's motivation. Id. The test is whether a reasonable person would have quit under the circumstances. See Aalbers v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 431 N.W.2d 330 (Iowa 1988); O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd., 494 N.W.2d 660 (1993). An employee acquiesces in a change in the conditions of employment if he or she does not resign in a timely manner. See Olson v. Employment Appeal Board, 460 N.W.2d 865 (Iowa Ct. App. 1990). The touchstone in deciding whether a delay in resigning will disqualify the Claimant from benefits is whether his "conduct indicates he accepted the changed in his contract of hire." Olson at 868.

|         | John A. Peno |
|---------|--------------|
| AMC/for |              |

AMG/tnv