IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

Lucas State Office Building

Des Moines, Iowa 50319

DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

# RITA K PALOMO 115 NORTH CLINTON AVENUE DALLAS TX 75208

## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT INVESTIGATION AND RECOVERY 1000 EAST GRAND AVENUE DES MOINES IA 50319-0209

DAN ANDERSON, IWD

## Appeal Number: OC: 07/11/04 Claimant: Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

05-IWDUI-0956

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to the Department . If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

June 24, 2005

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.16-4 - Misrepresentation Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Overpayments

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from an Iowa Workforce Development decision dated February 16, 2005, reference 02, which held that the claimant was overpaid unemployment benefits in the amount of \$310.00, because of misrepresentation in failing to report wages earned with QWEST Corporation for the week ending July 17, 2004.

After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on June 20, 2005.

The claimant participated. Iowa Workforce Development, Investigation and Recovery participated by Investigator/Supervisor, Dave Ecklund.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witness, and having examined all of the evidence in the record, finds: The claimant filed a claim for unemployment benefits with an effective date of July 11, 2004.

A wage cross match audit was done on the claimant's claim for the third quarter of 2004. A representative of QWEST Corporation, Teresa Roger, reported to the department the hours worked and the wages earned by the claimant during a review period from July 11, 2004 to October 2, 2004. The department compared the employer's audit report against the claimant's unemployment claims for the same weeks.

The employer reported the claimant worked 24-hours and earned gross wages of \$635 for the week ending July 17, 2004. The claimant reported \$1 as wages for the same week and received a benefit of \$310.

Investigator Busma mailed a notice to the claimant in January 2005 regarding the department's conclusion she was overpaid \$310 for the week ending July 17, 2005 based on earning excessive wages. The claimant had relocated to due a job and did not receive her forwarded mail that included the department notice for several weeks, and the decision was issued.

The claimant contacted the payroll representative, Teresa Roger, about her final pay and the issue in this hearing. Roger submitted an April 12, 2005 letter stating that the claimant did not earn any wages for the week ending July 17, 2005. The claimant later received some holiday pay for the 4<sup>th</sup> of July 2005, but she did not earn or receive any other wages for the week ending July 17, 2005.

# REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant is overpaid benefits \$310, and if so whether it is the result of misrepresentation.

# Iowa Code Section 96.16-4 provides:

4. Misrepresentation. An individual who, by reason of the nondisclosure or misrepresentation by the individual or by another of a material fact, has received any sum as benefits under this chapter while any conditions for the receipt of benefits imposed by this chapter were not fulfilled in the individual's case, or while the individual was disqualified from receiving benefits, shall, in the discretion of the department, either be liable to have the sum deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual under this chapter or shall be liable to repay to the department for the unemployment compensation fund, a sum equal to the amount so received by the individual. If the department seeks to recover the amount of the benefits by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to that amount, the department may file a lien with the county recorder in favor of the state on the individual's property and rights to property, whether real or personal. The amount of the lien shall be collected in a manner similar to the provisions for the collection of past-due contributions in section 96.14, subsection 3.

## Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The division of job service in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the division a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the division determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is NOT overpaid benefits \$310 for the week ending July 17, 2004 pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.16-4. The employer representative erred by reporting wages earned by the claimant for the week at issue that caused the overpayment. The claimant received holiday pay for July 4<sup>th</sup> after the week at issue that is not considered as wages earned for the week ending July 17.

# DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated February 16, 2005, reference 02, is REVERSED. The claimant is NOT overpaid benefits \$310.

rls