# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**DENEEN LOPEZ** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 09A-UI-04719-BT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 02/15/09

Claimant: Appellant (3/R)

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 - Able and Available for Work Iowa Code § 96.3-7 - Overpayment

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Deneen Lopez (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated March 18, 2009, reference 02, which held that she was required to contact at least two employers each week. After a hearing notice was mailed to the party's last-known address of record, a telephone hearing was held on April 22, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the party, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant is able and available to work?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant separated from Custom Hardware Manufacturing, Inc. in February 2008. She was placed on a temporary lay-off but has not returned to work for this employer. The decision which she appealed held that she had to conduct a job search each week since she is no longer temporarily unemployed.

However, the claimant began working full-time for Keokuk Community School District in August 2008 and continues to work in this same capacity.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective February 15, 2009 and has received benefits after the separation from employment.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The issue to be determined is whether the claimant is able and available for work. In order for an individual to be eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits, the evidence in the record must establish that she is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. See Iowa Code § 96.4(3) and 871 IAC 24.22.

# Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

## 871 IAC 24.23(23) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(23) The claimant's availability for other work is unduly limited because such claimant is working to such a degree that removes the claimant from the labor market.

The claimant has the burden of proof in establishing her ability and availability for work. <u>Davoren v. Iowa Employment Security Commission</u>, 277 N.W.2d 602 (Iowa 1979). She is no longer temporarily unemployed with Custom Hardware Manufacturing, Inc. Nevertheless, the claimant is working 35 hours each week with the Keokuk Community School District. Since the claimant is working full-time hours, she does not meet the availability requirements of the law. Benefits are denied as of February 21, 2009.

lowa Code § 96.3(7) provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. The overpayment recovery law was updated in 2008. See lowa Code § 96.3(7)(b). Under the revised law, a claimant will not be required to repay an overpayment of benefits if all of the following factors are met. First, the prior award of benefits must have been made in connection with a decision regarding the claimant's separation from a particular employment. Second, the claimant must not have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation to obtain the benefits or in connection with the Agency's initial decision to award benefits. Third, the employer must not have participated at the initial fact-finding proceeding that resulted in the initial decision to award benefits. If Workforce Development determines there has been an overpayment of benefits, the employer will not be charged for the benefits, regardless of whether the claimant is required to repay the benefits.

Because the claimant has been deemed ineligible for benefits, any benefits the claimant has received could constitute an overpayment. Accordingly, the administrative law judge will remand the matter to the Claims Division for determination of whether there has been an overpayment, the amount of the overpayment, and whether the claimant will have to repay the benefits.

# **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated March 18, 2009, reference 02, is modified in favor of the respondent. The claimant does not meet the availability requirements of the law and is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits as of February 21, 2009. The matter is remanded to the Claims Section for investigation and determination of the overpayment issue.

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/css