IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

#### TRACY L KISNER PO BOX 93 OSAGE IA 50461

### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

# Appeal Number:04A-UI-03638-DWTOC 06/24/01R 02Claimant:Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal are based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Tracy L. Kisner (claimant) appealed a representative's January 22, 2002 decision (reference 04) that concluded he had been overpaid \$1,758.00 in benefits he received between November 25, 2001 and January 5, 2002. After a hearing notice was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record, a telephone hearing was held on April 22, 2004. The claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice by contacting the Appeals Section prior to the hearing and providing the phone number at which he could be contacted to participate in the hearing. As a result, no one represented the claimant. Based on the administrative record and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant file a timely appeal or establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant established a claim for benefits during the week of June 24, 2001. He reopened his claim after his job with the employer ended on November 8, 2001. On January 22, 2002, a representative's decision was mailed to the claimant. This decision indicated the claimant had been overpaid \$1,758.00 in benefits he received between November 25, 2001 and January 5, 2002. The overpayment was based on a representative's January 9, 2002 decision that concluded he was not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits as of November 8, 2001.

It is not known when the claimant received the representative's decision or received knowledge about the decision. On March 30, 2004, the claimant appealed the decision.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after a representative's decision is mailed to the parties' last-known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final. Benefits shall then be paid or denied in accordance with the representative's decision. Iowa Code §96.6-2. Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. <u>Messina v. IDJS</u>, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The Iowa Supreme Court has ruled that appeals from unemployment insurance decisions must be filed within the time limit set by statute and the administrative law judge has no authority to review a decision if a timely appeal is not filed. <u>Franklin v. IDJS</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979); <u>Beardslee v. IDJS</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). In this case, the claimant's appeal was filed more than two years after the deadline for appealing expired.

The next question is whether the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal in a timely fashion. <u>Hendren v. IESC</u>, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); <u>Smith v. IESC</u>, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record does not establish if the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The claimant did not establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal. 871 IAC 24.35(2). Therefore the Appeals Section has no jurisdiction to make a decision on the merits of the appeal.

### DECISION:

The representative's January 2, 2002 decision (reference 04) is affirmed. The claimant did not file a timely appeal or establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal. The Appeals Section has no jurisdiction to address the merits of his appeal. This means the claimant remains overpaid \$1,758.00 in benefits he receiving between November 25, 2001 and January 5, 2002.

dlw/b