

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

JOSHPINE GAYE
Claimant

AEROTEK INC
Employer

APPEAL NO. 20A-UI-04422-JE-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

OC: 04/12/20
Claimant: Respondent Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving
Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) - Default Decision
871 IAC 26.14(7) - Dismissal of Appeal on Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed a timely appeal from a representative's unemployment insurance decision dated May 20, 2020, (reference 01), that concluded she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment with Aerotek. Notices of hearing were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 11:00 a.m. on June 9, 2020. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the claimant/appellant provided a telephone number prior to the hearing but was not available at that number at the time of the hearing and consequently no hearing was held in this matter.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the underlying decision should be affirmed and the appeal should be effectively dismissed based upon the claimant/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. A Notice of Appeal and Hearing was mailed to both parties on May 28, 2020. There is no evidence suggesting the claimant/appellant did not receive the hearing notice prior to the hearing scheduled on June 9, 2020.

The front page of the hearing notice states: **"IMPORTANT NOTICE! YOU MUST PROVIDE YOUR PHONE NUMBER TO THE APPEALS BUREAU AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.** If you do not follow these instructions, the judge will not call you for the hearing. You must also provide the name(s) and phone number(s) of any witnesses to the Appeals Bureau."

The claimant/appellant provided a telephone number prior to the hearing but was not available at that number at the time of the hearing and consequently no hearing was held in this matter.

The representative's decision concluded that the claimant was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits.

The claimant has not received unemployment insurance benefits since her separation from this employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Iowa Administrative Procedure Act at Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides that if a party fails to appear or participate in a hearing after proper service of notice, the judge may enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. Likewise, Agency rule 871 IAC 26.14(7) provides that if the appealing party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the Appeals Bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the judge may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provided in Iowa Code § 17A.12(3).

This rule does not provide exceptions for good intentions and/or a party contacting the Appeals Bureau within a reasonable amount of time after the hearing is scheduled. It can be assumed an appellant intends to participate in the hearing simply by the fact an appeal is filed, but their responsibility does not end there. All parties are required to follow the specific written instructions printed on the hearing notice. Due process requires notice and an opportunity to be heard, both of which were provided to the parties.

If the claimant/appellant responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed, the administrative law judge shall not take the evidence of the late party. Instead, the administrative law judge shall inquire ex parte as to why the party was late in responding to the notice of hearing. For good cause shown, the record shall be reopened and cause further notice of hearing to be issued. The record shall not be reopened without a finding of good cause for the party's late response to the notice of hearing. 871 IAC 26.14(7)b. Furthermore, the rule states that failure to read or follow the hearing notice instructions shall not constitute good cause. 871 IAC 26.14(7)c.

The claimant/appellant appealed the unemployment insurance decision but failed to participate in the scheduled appeal hearing. The claimant/appellant has therefore defaulted on her appeal pursuant to Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) and 871 IAC 26.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

Note to Claimant: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>.

DECISION:

The May 20, 2020, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The decision denying benefits to the claimant/appellant remains in effect, as the claimant/appellant is in default and the appeal is

dismissed. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Julie Elder". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Julie Elder
Administrative Law Judge

June 24, 2020
Decision Dated and Mailed

je/sam