# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**CHRISTIAN A DELAP** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 20A-UI-14956-AW-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**DEERY BROTHERS INC** 

Employer

OC: 12/29/19

Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

PL 116-136, Sec. 2104 – Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and available for work

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from the November 6, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 20, 2021, at 11:00 a.m. Claimant did not participate. Employer participated through Amelia Gallagher, Hearing Representative, and Terry Mertens, General Manager. No exhibits were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

# **ISSUES:**

Whether claimant's separation was a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to employer. Whether claimant was overpaid benefits.

Whether claimant should repay those benefits and/or whether employer should be charged based upon its participation in the fact-finding interview.

Whether claimant is eligible for Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation.

Whether claimant is able to and available for work.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time Sales Consultant from June 1, 2020 until his employment with Deery Brothers ended on September 3, 2020. Claimant worked Mondays and Tuesdays from 8:30 a.m. until 8:00 p.m. and Thursday through Saturday from 8:30 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. Claimant's direct supervisor was Terry Mertens, General Manager.

On September 3, 2020, claimant left work at 3:00 p.m. without informing employer. Claimant found a note on claimant's desk that stated "keys," "plate stolen from desk" and "can't play games." Claimant did not return to work. Claimant left his keys to the lock boxes, car wash and his desk. Claimant brought no issues to employer's attention prior to leaving work on September 3, 2020. Employer had continuing work available for claimant. Claimant's job was not in jeopardy.

The administrative record reflects that claimant filed for and has received regular unemployment insurance (UI) benefits in the gross amount of \$2,706.00 for the six-week period between September 6, 2020 and October 17, 2020.

Employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview. The administrative record reflects that employer did not provide detailed information regarding claimant's separation from employment or the name and contact information of a witness with first-hand knowledge of the separation to participate in the fact-finding interview.

Claimant has not received Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) since his separation from employer. Claimant has received Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation (PEUC).

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily quit his employment without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

lowa Code § 96.5(1) provides: An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, if the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). The standard of what a reasonable person would have believed under the circumstances is applied in determining whether a claimant left work voluntarily with good cause attributable to the employer. *O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993).

Claimant's actions of leaving his keys and not returning to work are both evidence of his intention to sever the employment relationship and overt acts of carrying out his intention. Claimant voluntarily quit his employment. Claimant's September 3, 2020 note does not provide a clear reason for his resignation. Claimant did not bring any issues to employer's attention prior to quitting. Claimant has not met his burden of proving he voluntarily quit his employment for good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

The next issues to be determined are whether claimant has been overpaid benefits, whether the claimant must repay those benefits, and whether the employer's account will be charged. For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant was overpaid benefits, but is not required to repay those benefits because employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview. Employer's account shall be charged.

Iowa Code § 96.3(7)(a)-(b) provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2. means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral

statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits if it is determined that they did participate in the fact-finding interview. Iowa Code § 96.3(7), Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10.

In this case, claimant has received benefits to which claimant was not entitled. However, employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview. Therefore, claimant is not obligated to repay to the agency the benefits received and employer's account shall be charged.

Because claimant is not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits, claimant is also not eligible for Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation. See PL 116-136 §2104(B). Claimant received no FPUC benefits after his separation from employer; therefore, the issue of overpayment of FPUC is moot. Because claimant's separation is disqualifying, the issue of whether claimant is able to and available for work is moot.

#### **DECISION:**

The November 6, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the gross amount of \$2,706.00 for the six-week period between September 6, 2020 and October 17, 2020 and is not obligated to repay the agency those benefits. Employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview and its account shall be charged. This overpayment decision is made concurrently with and should not be considered duplicative of the overpayment decision in Appeal 20A-UI-14957-AW-T.

Claimant is not eligible for FPUC. Claimant is not overpaid FPUC. The issue of whether claimant is able to and available for work is moot.

### **REMAND:**

The issue of whether claimant has been overpaid PEUC is remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and decision.

Adrienne C. Williamson

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515)478-3528

\_\_February 5, 2021\_

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

acw/mh