IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DEANNA K PFEIFFER Claimant

APPEAL 23A-UI-10244-DB-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WALMART INC Employer

> OC: 10/01/23 Claimant: Respondent (1R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Overpayment of Benefits Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Participation in Fact Finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer/appellant filed an appeal from the October 18, 2023 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed unemployment insurance benefits to the claimant based upon a separation from work. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 16, 2023. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated through witnesses Kristi Davis, Jodi Wilson and Carly Courtright. The administrative law judge took administrative notice of the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records.

ISSUES:

Was the claimant's separation from employment disqualifying? Was the claimant overpaid benefits? Should the claimant repay benefits and/or should the employer's account be subject to charges based upon participation in the fact-finding interview?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for the employer on April 19, 2005. She worked full-time as a cashier. Claimant was discharged on September 27, 2023, for violation of the employer's written attendance policy. The written attendance policy states that at five attendance occurrences, an employee may be subject to discharge. Claimant was absent from her full work shifts on September 14, 2023; September 18, 2023; September 20, 2023; September 25, 2023; and September 26, 2023. She left her scheduled work shift early on September 17, 2023, and September 24, 2023. On each of the listed occasions she properly reported to the employer that she was unable to work due to illness. Ms. Wilson notified the claimant that she was discharged from work on September 27, 2023, via telephone due to her accrual of attendance occurrences under the employer's policy.

The employer's representative, Carly Courtright, participated in the initial fact-finding interview on October 17, 2023 and provided the Iowa Workforce Development representative information

about the claimant's discharge due to violation of the attendance policy. Claimant's administrative records establish that she has received unemployment insurance benefits of \$1,336.00 for the weeks between October 1, 2023 and November 11, 2023.

Claimant testified that she has severe asthma and an immune disorder that causes her to be frequently ill. Claimant has visited with her treating physician about these issues and has been told by the physician that she should not be working. The issue of whether the claimant is able to and available for full-time work is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation and determination.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a & d provide in pertinent part:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of the standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligation to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following: ...

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job-related misconduct.¹ In unemployment insurance benefits cases, the issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits.² What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions.³ Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits.⁴ Such misconduct must be "substantial."⁵

¹ Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982).

² Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

³ Pierce v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 425 N.W.2d 679 (lowa Ct. App. 1988).

⁴ Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984).

Excessive absences are not considered misconduct unless unexcused.⁶ Absences due to properly reported illness cannot constitute work-connected misconduct since they are not volitional, even if the employer was fully within its rights to assess points or impose discipline up to or including discharge for the absence under its attendance policy.⁷ Medical documentation is not essential to a determination that an absence due to illness should be treated as excused.⁸

Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.⁹ The requirements for a finding of misconduct based on absences are therefore twofold. First, the absences must be excessive.¹⁰ The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings.¹¹ Second, the absences must be unexcused.¹² The requirement of "unexcused" can be satisfied in two ways. An absence can be unexcused because it was not for "reasonable grounds".¹³ An absence can also be unexcused because it was not "properly reported."¹⁴ Excused absences are those "with appropriate notice".¹⁵

Absences in good faith, for good cause, with appropriate notice, are not misconduct.¹⁶ They may be grounds for discharge but not for disqualification of benefits because substantial disregard for the employer's interest is not shown and this is essential to a finding of misconduct.¹⁷ *Id*. Further, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on a past act, it must be based upon a current act.¹⁸

The credible evidence establishes that the claimant was ill and properly reported each of her absences from work between September 14, 2023, and September 26, 2023. Claimant did not engage in any final acts that were substantial and would rise to the level of job-related misconduct. The separation from employment is not disqualifying and benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The issue on remand regarding the claimant's ability to work and availability for work will be handled by the Iowa Workforce Development Benefits Bureau. Because the separation from employment is not disqualifying, there is no overpayment of benefits stemming from this separation from employment. The issues of overpayment and chargeability are moot.

DECISION:

The October 18, 2023 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Unemployment insurance benefits are allowed, provided the claimant remains otherwise eligible.

⁶ Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 10.

⁷ Gaborit v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 743 N.W.2d 554 (Iowa Ct. App. 2007).

⁸ Id. at 558.

⁹ Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7) (emphasis added); see *Higgins v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 350 N.W.2d 187, 190, n. 1 (Iowa 1984) holding "rule [2]4.32(7)...accurately states the law."

¹⁰ Sallis v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 437 N.W.2d 895 (Iowa 1989).

¹¹ *Higgins*, 350 N.W.2d at 192 (Iowa 1984).

¹² Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 10 (lowa 1982).

¹³ *Higgins*, 350 N.W.2d at 191.

¹⁴ *Higgins*, 350 N.W.2d at 191 (lowa 1984) and *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 10 (lowa 1982).

¹⁵ Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 10 (lowa 1982).

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ Id.

¹⁸ Iowa Admin. Code r.871-24.32(8).

REMAND:

The issue of whether the claimant is able to and available for work given her extensive asthma and immune disorder, and the fact that she has been instructed by a physician not to work, is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation and determination.

Jawn. Moucher

Dawn Boucher Administrative Law Judge

November 20, 2023 Decision Dated and Mailed

db/scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, IA 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: <u>eab.iowa.gov</u>

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.

2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.

3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court Lerk of Court Lerk of Court Scourts.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, IA 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: <u>eab.iowa.gov</u>

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.

2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.

3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.

4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en <u>https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</u> o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.