IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

69 01F7 (0 06) 2001079 EL

	06-0157 (3-00) - 3031078 - EI
VIRGINIA TOMAS Claimant	APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-10949-H2T
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
PEKIN COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT Employer	
	OC: 06-10-12 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.19(38)a & b – Total and Partial Unemployment Iowa Code § 96.7(2)a – Same Base Period Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the August 14, 2012, reference 04 amending reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on November 8, 2012. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Connie Linney, Business Manager. Claimant's Exhibit A was entered and received into the record.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant partially unemployed from this employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant works for the school district as a substitute teacher on an as needed or on call basis. She has reasonable assurance of continued employment as a substitute teacher during the 2012-2013 school year. While working as a substitute teacher the claimant also worked for another employer during the school year. She works for Lifetouch National taking children's school pictures. Lifetouch is not an educational institution. During the summer the claimant is laid off from Lifetouch due to lack of work. She is eligible to collect unemployment insurance benefits based upon her wages earned from Lifetouch when she is laid off due to lack of work but not from any of the school districts as she is not considered unemployed during the summer months.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant is partially unemployed for the period beginning June 10, 2012.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Code § 96.19-38 provides:

"Total and partial unemployment".

a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.

b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which, while employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which the individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

Iowa Code § 96.7-2-a(2) provides:

2. Contribution rates based on benefit experience.

a. (2) The amount of regular benefits plus fifty percent of the amount of extended benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the account of the employers in the base period in the inverse chronological order in which the employment of the individual occurred.

However, if the individual to whom the benefits are paid is in the employ of a base period employer at the time the individual is receiving the benefits, and the individual is receiving the same employment from the employer that the individual received during the individual's base period, benefits paid to the individual shall not be charged against the account of the employer. This provision applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding subparagraph (3) and section 96.8, subsection 5.

An employer's account shall not be charged with benefits paid to an individual who left the work of the employer voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer or to an individual who was discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment, or to an individual who failed without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work or to accept suitable work with that employer, but shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The amount of benefits paid to an individual, which is solely due to wage credits considered to be in an individual's base period due to the exclusion and substitution of calendar quarters from the individual's base period under section 96.23, shall be charged against the account of the employer responsible for paying the workers' compensation benefits for temporary total disability or during a healing period under section 85.33, section 85.34, subsection 1, or section 85A.17, or responsible for paying indemnity insurance benefits.

871 IAC 24.52(6) provides:

Benefits which are denied to an individual that are based on services performed in an educational institution for periods between academic years or terms shall cause the denial of the use of such wage credits. However, if sufficient nonschool wage credits remain on the claim to qualify under Iowa Code § 96.4(4), the remaining wage credits may be used for benefit payments, if the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.52(10) states:

Substitute teachers.

a. Substitute teachers are professional employees and would therefore be subject to the same limitations as other professional employees in regard to contracts, reasonable assurance provisions and the benefit denials between terms and during vacation periods.

b. Substitute teachers who are employed as on-call workers who hold themselves available for one employer and who will not search for or accept other work, are not available for work within the meaning of the law and are not eligible for unemployment insurance payments pursuant to subrule 24.22(2) *"i"*(1).

c. Substitute teachers whose wage credits in the base period consist exclusively of wages earned by performing on-call work are not considered to be unemployed persons pursuant to subrule 24.22(2) "*i*"(3).

d. However, substitute teachers engaged in on-call employment are not automatically disqualified but may be eligible pursuant to subrule 24.22(2)*"i"*(3) if they are:

- (1) Able and available for work.
- (2) Making an earnest and active search for work each week.
- (3) Placing no restrictions on their employability.

(4) Show attachment to the labor market. Have wages other than on-call wages with an educational institution in the base period.

e. A substitute teacher who elects not to report for further possible assignment to work shall be considered to have voluntarily quit pursuant to subrule 24.26(19).

Because the claimant is currently employed less than her regular hours for Lifetouch National, she is considered partially unemployed from that employer. Benefits may be allowed based upon reporting of weekly earnings. The wages and hours earned from all school districts, including Pekin, will be removed from the claimant's claim as she has reasonable assurance of continued work as a substitute teacher in the 2012-2013 school year.

DECISION:

The August 14, 2012, reference 04 amending reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant is allowed benefits from her employers other than school districts, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/css