IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

ISIE L BELT 2110 ROSS ST SIOUX CITY IA 51103

ADECCO USA INC ^c/_o TALX UC EXPRESS PO BOX 66736 ST LOUIS MO 63166-6736

Appeal Number:04A-UI-12756-DTOC:10/10/04R:OIClaimant:Respondent (4)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1-j – Temporary Employment 871 IAC 24.26(19) – Temporary Employment Section 96.4-3 – Able and Available Section 96.4-6-a – Department Approved Training

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

ADECCO USA, Inc. (employer) appealed a representative's November 16, 2004 decision (reference 03) that concluded Isie L. Belt (claimant) was qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on December 21, 2004. The claimant participated in the hearing. Susen Zevin of TALX UC Express appeared on the employer's behalf and presented testimony from two witnesses, Carla McBride and Wendy

Lee. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUES:

Was there a disqualifying separation from employment? Is the claimant able and available for work? Is the employer's account subject to charge?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The employer is a temporary staffing agency. The claimant began taking assignments through the employer on May 3, 2004. Her final assignment began on August 23, 2004. She worked full time as a credit clerk at the employer's South Dakota business client through October 8, 2004. The assignment ended that date because the business client deemed the assignment to be completed. The business client informed the employer of the completion of the assignment with on or by October 5, 2004. The claimant separately discussed the ending of the assignment with the employer on October 5.

When the claimant began taking assignments with the employer, she had plans to begin an educational program in late October 2004 that would reduce her availability for work to only part time. Administrative notice is take of another representative's decision issued on October 25, 2004 (reference 02) that granted Department Approved Training (DAT) status to the claimant effective October 24, 2004 through October 9, 2005. The claimant's classes were scheduled to begin October 26. During the discussions with the employer on October 5, the employer offered the claimant another full-time assignment with the same business client that was scheduled to begin on October 11. The assignment was intended to extend for at least three months. The claimant declined the position as it would have conflicted with her class schedule. She asked if part time work was available, and was informed that no part time work was available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The essential question in this case is whether there was a disqualifying separation from employment.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter. To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The intent of the statute is to avoid situations where a temporary assignment has ended and the claimant is unemployed, but the employer is unaware that the claimant is not working could have been offered an available new assignment to avoid any liability for unemployment insurance benefits. Where a temporary employment assignment has ended and the employer is aware of the end of that assignment, the employer is already on "notice" that the assignment is ended and the claimant is available for a new assignment; where the claimant knows that the employer is aware of the ending of the assignment, she has good cause for not separately "notifying" the employer. Where the employer has notice of the end of the assignment, the purpose of the statue has been met.

Here, the employer was aware that the business client had ended the assignment; it considered the claimant's assignment to have been completed. Regardless of whether the claimant reported for a new assignment, the separation is deemed to be completion of temporary assignment and not a voluntary leaving; a refusal of an offer of a new assignment would be a separate potentially disqualifying issue. In this case, bearing out the employer's opportunity to make an offer of a new assignment, the employer did in fact make a new offer of work. The claimant did indicate a willingness to accept a new assignment, so long as it was a part-time assignment that would not interfere with her upcoming school schedule. The question then becomes whether the claimant's change in availability because of attending school renders her ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.23(5) provides:

(5) Full-time students devoting the major portion of their time and efforts to their studies are deemed to have no reasonable expectancy of securing employment except if the students are available to the same degree and to the same extent as they accrued wage credits they will meet the eligibility requirements of the law.

Iowa Code section 96.4-6-a-b provides:

6. a. An otherwise eligible individual shall not be denied benefits for any week because the individual is in training with the approval of the director, nor shall the individual be denied benefits with respect to any week in which the individual is in training with the approval of the director by reason of the application of the provision in subsection 3 of this section relating to availability for work, and an active search for work or the provision of section 96.5, subsection 3, relating to failure to apply for or a refusal to accept suitable work. However, an employer's account shall not be charged with benefits so paid.

b. An otherwise eligible individual shall not be denied benefits for a week because the individual is in training approved under 19 U.S.C. § 2296(a), as amended by section 2506 of the federal Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, because the individual leaves work which is not suitable employment to enter the approved training, or because of the application of subsection 3 of this section or section 96.5, subsection 3, or a federal unemployment insurance law administered by the department relating to availability for work, active search for work, or refusal to accept work.

For purposes of this paragraph, "suitable employment" means work of a substantially equal or higher skill level than an individual's past adversely affected employment, as defined in 19 U.S.C. § 2319(I), if weekly wages for the work are not less than eighty percent of the individual's average weekly wage.

871 IAC 24.39 provides:

Department-approved training or retraining program. The intent of the departmentapproved training is to exempt the individual from the work search requirement for continued eligibility for benefits so individuals may pursue training that will upgrade necessary skills in order to return to the labor forces. In order to be eligible for department-approved training programs and to maintain continuing participation therein, the individual shall meet the following requirements:

(1) Any claimant for benefits who desires to receive benefits while attending school for training or retraining purposes shall make a written application to the department setting out the following:

- a. The educational establishment at which the claimant would receive training.
- b. The estimated time required for such training.

c. The occupation which the training is allowing the claimant to maintain or pursue.

(2) A claimant may receive unemployment insurance while attending a training course approved by the department. While attending the approved training course, the claimant need not be available for work or actively seeking work. After completion of department-approved training the claimant must, in order to continue to be eligible for unemployment insurance, place no restriction on employability. The claimant must be able to work, available for work and be actively searching for work. In addition, the claimant may be subject to disqualification for any refusal of work without good cause after the claimant has completed the training.

(3) The claimant must show satisfactory attendance and progress in the training course and must demonstrate that such claimant has the necessary finances to complete the training to substantiate the expenditure of unemployment insurance funds.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.4(6).

While the claimant is a full-time student and not available for work at the same extent as she had been previously, she has been granted department approved training. She is therefore exempt from the requirements to be able and available for work. However, assuming that the employer's chargeability is governed by Iowa Iaw (undetermined in this case, as the wage credits from the employer to the claimant do not appear to be reported for Iowa, and the work was not in Iowa), the employer is not subject to charge for benefits paid to the claimant while she remains in that status.

DECISION:

The representative's November 16, 2004 decision (reference 03) is modified in favor of the employer. The claimant's separation was not a voluntary quit but was the completion of a temporary assignment. The claimant is qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits, if she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is exempt from the requirement that she able to work and available for work effective October 24, 2004 because of the granting of department approved training status. To the extent the employer's chargeability is governed by Iowa law, the employer is not subject to charge while she remains in that status.

ld/tjc