### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

SUZANNE VARTABEDIAN Claimant

# APPEAL 21A-UI-15219-DB-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HY-VEE INC Employer

> OC: 03/14/21 Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work lowa Code § 96.1(A)37 – Total, Partial, Temporary Unemployment lowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) – Same Base Period Employment lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) – Same Hours and Wages

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the June 29, 2021 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that found claimant was not eligible for unemployment benefits because she was still employed at the same hours and same wages as her original contract of hire. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on August 30, 2021. The claimant participated personally. The employer did not participate. The claimant's Exhibit A was admitted. The administrative law judge took administrative notice of the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records. The hearing was consolidated with Appeal No. 21A-UI-15221-DB-T.

#### **ISSUES:**

Is the claimant eligible for total, partial, or temporary unemployment benefits?

Is claimant employed for the same hours and wages?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

Is the employer's account subject to charges?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant started working for this employer in March of 2021. She was hired as a part-time online shopper. She was not guaranteed a certain number of hours per week when she was hired and her work schedule varied. In April or May of 2021, claimant switched her position from a part-time worker to a flex shopper, which is an on-call position. Claimant last worked on May 8, 2021. The employer called her to work in June of 2021; however, she was out of town and unavailable to work. In July of 2021, her employee discount had been discontinued and she emailed the human resources department regarding her employment status. Claimant received no answer to her July 28, 2021 email about her employment status. Claimant's administrative records establish part-time hours in her base period.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

lowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

Required Findings.

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.1A, subsection 37, paragraph "b", subparagraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.1A, subsection 37, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3, are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

lowa Code § 96.1A(37) provides as follows:

Definitions. As used in this chapter, unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

37. "Total and partial unemployment".

a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.

b. An individual shall be deemed "partially unemployed" in any week in which either of the following apply:

(1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

(2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

c. An individual shall be deemed "temporarily unemployed" if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work, or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

lowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2)a provides:

Contribution rates based on benefit experience.

a. (2) The amount of regular benefits plus fifty percent of the amount of extended benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the account of the

employers in the base period in the inverse chronological order in which the employment of the individual occurred.

(a) However, if the individual to whom the benefits are paid is in the employ of a base period employer at the time the individual is receiving the benefits, and the individual is receiving the same employment from the employer that the individual received during the individual's base period, benefits paid to the individual shall not be charged against the account of the employer. This provision applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding subparagraph (3) and section 96.8, subs ection 5.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(26) Where a claimant is still employed in a part-time job at the same hours and wages as contemplated in the original contract for hire and is not working on a reduced workweek basis different from the contract for hire, such claimant cannot be considered partially unemployed.

Because claimant was hired to work only part-time hours, was not guaranteed full-time hours, and the wage history consists of only part-time wages, the claimant is not considered to be unemployed within the meaning of the law. When an individual is hired to work part-time, the implied agreement is that full-time work will not be regularly available. Further, when the claimant changed her availability with the employer to only be an on-call worker, the same is true that she is not guaranteed work and is not totally unemployed or partially unemployed when on-call work is not available to her.

Thus, since the employer continues to provide the same employment and the claimant is currently employed under the same hours and wages as contemplated when she was hired and when she switched to on-call work only, she is not considered totally or partially unemployed. Benefits are denied effective March 14, 2021.

## **DECISION:**

The June 29, 2021 (reference 02) decision is affirmed. Claimant is not totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed as she was still employed in her part-time job for the same hours and wages as her original contract of hire from March 14, 2021 to when she switched on on-call work only and remains employed in her on-call position for the same on-call status as her contract of hire. Benefits are denied effective March 14, 2021.

Jawn. Moucher

Dawn Boucher Administrative Law Judge

September 2, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

db/mh