IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

APPEAL NO: 10A-UI-06751-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

KWIK SHOP INC Employer

JESSICA MABEN

Claimant

OC: 03-21-10

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the April 26, 2010, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on June 24, 2010. The claimant participated in the hearing. Donald Smith, Manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time clerk for Kwik Shop from January 26, 2009 to November 3, 2009. The claimant was pregnant and provided a note from her doctor that she was to be on bed rest from November 3, 2009, for the remainder of her pregnancy. Her due date was November 28, 2009. She did not qualify for FMLA because she had not worked for the employer for one year. The employer's policy states that if an employee is unable to return to work after two weeks she has to resign or face termination. The employer paid her the sick leave she had accrued and told her he would have to let her go November 3, 2009.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

Excessive absences are not considered misconduct unless unexcused. Absences due to properly reported illness cannot constitute job misconduct since they are not volitional. Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The claimant had a doctor's note excusing her from work until her baby was born because she needed to be on bed rest for approximately the last month of her pregnancy and the employer decided to terminate her employment. Because the final absence was related to properly reported illness, no final or current incident of unexcused absenteeism has been established and no disqualification is imposed.

DECISION:

je/pjs

The April 26, 2010, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	