# IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**RUDY V MAES** 

Claimant

APPEAL 23A-UI-09191-SN-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ABILIT HOLDINGS GARNETT PLACE LLC

Employer

OC: 08/27/23

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a – Discharge for Misconduct

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer, Abilit Holdings Garnett Place LLC, filed an appeal from the September 14, 2023, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that granted benefits based upon the conclusion the claimant was discharged, but misconduct was not shown. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 12, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. The claimant did not participate. The employer participated through Human Resources Manager Jane Robertson and Director Lisa Urbana. Exhibits 1, 2, 3, D1 and D2 were received into the record. The administrative law judge took official notice of the agency records.

### ISSUES:

Was the employer's appeal timely? If it was not timely filed were there circumstances beyond its control that justify extending the appeal period to preserve its due process rights?

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

Whether the claimant has been overpaid benefits? Whether the claimant is excused from repayment of benefits due to the employer's non-participation?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The claimant worked as a maintenance assistant from August 11, 2023, until he separated from work on August 28, 2023, when he quit. The claimant reported directly to Director Lisa Urbana.

The employer has an employee handbook. The employee handbook outlines a progressive disciplinary procedure moving from the issuance of a written warning, then a final warning, then a suspension and finally termination. The handbook prohibits the use of profanity in the workplace. It also prohibits falsification or alteration of timecards.

On August 28, 2023, Ms. Urbana issued the claimant a written warning for taking a one hour and five-minute unauthorized break on August 23, 2023. Ms. Urbana also issued the claimant a final warning because he did not use tape to protect pre-stained trim earlier in that week. Ms. Urbana ordered the claimant to use paint remover on the trim and reinstall them. The claimant attempted to sand down the trim instead which damaged it. The employer provided both warnings. (Exhibit 1) The final written warning states the following, "Job performance needs to improve. Further damage to community while doing job will lead to further discipline up to and including termination." Upon receiving these warnings, the claimant exclaimed, "I don't need this fucking job," to Ms. Urbana. He then proceeded to walk out of the building. On his way out, the claimant said, "Bye Felicia."

The following section of the findings of fact display the findings necessary to resolve the overpayment issue:

The claimant has received \$343.00 in unemployment insurance benefits after this separation.

On September 7, 2023, Iowa Workforce Development sent a notice of fact-finding to the parties informing them of a fact-finding interview on September 13, 2023, at 11:20 a.m. The notice of fact-finding was mailed to the employer's designated address of record for receiving tax correspondence, 7600 Office Plaza Drive South Suite 100 in West Des Moines, Iowa 50266, as listed on the website MylowaUI.com. The employer was in the process of changing third party unemployment insurance servicers from Oasis AHR, the servicer listed at that address, to Employer's Edge.

The employer's primary address, as listed on MylowaUI.com, is 202 35th Street Drive Southeast in Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52403-1353. This primary address is the office location at which the claimant was terminated, Jaybird Senior Living. The employer did not receive the factfinding notice until September 19, 2023, due to rerouting of this mail.

On September 11, 2023, the employer facsimiled its protest to Iowa Workforce Development. The protest gave the number 1-866-675-3856, a number affiliated with the employer's unemployment insurance servicer. Rather than calling this number on the date and time of fact-finding, the representative called 1-800-336-1931, a number unaffiliated with the employer. There was not an answer at that number.

The following section of the findings of fact displays the findings necessary to resolve the timeliness issue:

The decision granting benefits was mailed to the Oasis AHR address listed above in the factfinding section on September 14, 2023. (Exhibit D1) The employer did not receive the decision until September 27, 2023 due to rerouting of mail. The employer appealed it by facsimile that same day. (Exhibit D2)

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge concludes the employer's appeal is otherwise timely due to agency error. He further finds the claimant quit after being disciplined which is disqualifying according to lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(28). He further finds the claimant has been overpaid benefits. This overpayment will be paid by the lowa Unemployment Insurance Fund because the employer did not participate at fact-finding due to agency error.

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of issuance of the notice of the filing of the claim to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. All interested parties shall select a format as specified by the department to receive such notifications. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsections 10 and 11, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was issued, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The employer did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision within the deadline because the decision was not sent to its primary address 202 35th Street Drive Southeast in Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52403-1353. The administrative law judge acknowledges that the employer's addresses on MylowaUI.com are not ideal, but it is probable that far less delay would have occurred if the decision would have been mailed to the primary address rather than the address for tax correspondence, which admittedly is a defunct address.

Without notice of an adverse decision, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See Smith v. Iowa Employment Security Commission, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The employer appealed the same day it received notice of the adverse decision.

The administrative law judge will now evaluate whether the separation is disqualifying. He finds that it is a disqualifying quit under Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(28).

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25 provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980).

In this case, the claimant walked off the job after being reprimand. He never returned. Exhibit 1 clearly shows the employer did not intend to separate the claimant from his employment. Rather it was the claimant who announced the separation with, "I don't need this fucking job," and, "Bye Felicia." The claimant did not testify, but the proximity of his severance from employment with being disciplined shows it was due to being reprimanded. This is disqualifying under lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(28).

While claimant's leaving may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits are denied.

The next issue is whether claimant has been overpaid benefits. Iowa Code § 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid

because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.

- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

- (1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.
- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a

calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.

- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

The claimant has received \$343.00 in unemployment insurance benefits after this separation.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which he was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by claimant.

Additionally, the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview. Thus, claimant is not obligated to repay to the agency the benefits he received.

The law also states that an employer is to be charged if "the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. . ." lowa Code § 96.3(7)(b)(1)(a). lowa Workforce Development Department sent the notice of factfinding to the employer's address for tax purposes rather than to its primary address. While the primary address was likely not ideal, it is probable that Ms. Urbana and other agents would have been aware to prepare had it been sent there. Furthermore, the representative did not call the number listed on the employer's protest. This excuses the employer from adequately participating at fact-finding because notice is required to oblige it to be present for the call. This overpayment will be paid by the lowa Unemployment Insurance Fund because the employer did not participate at fact-finding due to agency error.

## **DECISION:**

The September 14, 2023, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is REVERSED. The employer's appeal is otherwise timely due to agency error. The claimant was discharged from employment for disqualifying misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

The claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$343.00 but is not obligated to repay the agency those benefits. The employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview due to no fault of its own and its account shall not be charged. Rather, the overpayment should be charged to the fund.



Sean M. Nelson Administrative Law Judge II

October 16, 2023
Decision Dated and Mailed

SMN/jkb

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

## AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

#### SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

### UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

## SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.