IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

CHRISTIANE M CADY

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-16168-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DES STAFFING SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 04/11/21

Claimant: Respondent (1R)

lowa Code § 96.5(3)a – Failure to Accept Work

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(2) - Able & Available - Benefits Eligibility Conditions

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer/appellant, Des Staffing Services Inc., filed an appeal from the July 19, 2021 (reference 01) lowa Workforce Development ("IWD") unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 2, 2021. The claimant did not respond to the notice of hearing to furnish a phone number with the Appeals Bureau and did not participate in the hearing. The employer participated through Dan Sethi. Christine Weilbrenner testified.

The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative records. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUES:

Did claimant fail to accept a suitable offer of work and if so, was the failure to do so for a good cause reason?

Is the claimant able to work and available for work effective March 18, 2021?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant established her claim for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of April 11, 2021. Claimant did not have a valid claim effective March 18, 2021.

Claimant last performed work on March 11, 2021 on assignment at Pineridge Farms. Claimant was offered an interview and walk-through for a new assignment on March 15, 2021 but she called off with a fever and did not report. The issue of claimant's permanent separation from employment has not been addressed by the Benefits Bureau.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge affirms the initial decision.

Cases of "refusal of suitable work without good cause" are subject to a two-step analysis. A determination must be made regarding whether the work was suitable, and if it was, whether claimant has good cause for refusal. lowa Admin. Code 871—24.24(3).

The employer has the burden of proving the offer was made and that it was suitable. lowa Code § 96.5(3)a(1) provides:

- a. (1) In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (a) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- b) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (c) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (d) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (2) However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.
- b. Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, no work shall be deemed suitable and benefits shall not be denied under this chapter to any otherwise eligible individual to accept new work under any of the following conditions:
- (1) If the position offered is vacant due directly to a strike, lockout, or other labor dispute;
- (2) If the wages, hours, or other conditions of the work offered are substantially less favorable to the individual than those prevailing for similar work in the locality;
- (3) If as a condition of being employed, the individual would be required to join a company union or to resign from or refrain from joining any bona fide labor organization.

If the offer was suitable, the claimant has the burden to establish the offer was refused for "good cause." "Good cause for refusing work must involve circumstances which are real, substantial, and reasonable, not arbitrary, immaterial, or capricious." *Norland v. IDJS*, 412 N.W.2d 904, 914 (lowa 1987).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.24(8) provides:

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the lowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

The administrative law judge does not have jurisdiction to evaluate the offer or refusal of work since the offer of employment took place outside of the benefit year. Benefits are allowed, provided she is otherwise eligible.

The issue of claimant's permanent separation is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation and decision.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated July 19, 2021, (reference 01) is affirmed. Claimant failed to accept an offer of work made outside of her benefit year; thus, the administrative law judge has no jurisdiction to determine suitability of the offer. Benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

REMAND:

The issue of claimant's permanent separation is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation and decision.

gennique of Beckman

Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

September 13, 2021
Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/mh