IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

WEST POMERLEE

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-13026-SC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

TEAM STAFFING SOLUTIONS INC

Employer

OC: 10/11/15

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

West Pomerlee (claimant) filed an appeal from the November 18, 2015, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon the determination Team Staffing Solutions, Inc. (employer) discharged him for violation of a known company rule. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on December 14, 2015. The claimant participated on his own behalf. The employer participated through Human Resources Generalist Sarah Fiedler. Employer's Exhibit 1 was received.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full time as a temporary forklift operator at the employer's client Callos Resources beginning on July 24, 2015, and was separated from employment on August 31, 2015, when he was discharged. On August 25, 2015, the claimant was in a workplace accident with his forklift. The client conducted an onsite drug test which was not testable as it was diluted with hand sanitizer. The claimant was then sent for a second drug test at a medical facility and the test came back positive for cannabis. On August 31, 2015, the employer sent the claimant a certified letter, return receipt requested notifying him of his discharge. (Employer's Exhibit 1.) The letter does not address the claimant's right to have a split sample tested at his own expense.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed.

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proving disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). Iowa Code § 730.5 allows drug testing of an employee if, among other conditions, the employer has "probable cause to believe that an employee's faculties are impaired on the job." Iowa Code § 730.5(9) requires that a written drug screen policy be provided to every employee subject to testing. Iowa Code § 730.5(7)(i)(1) mandates that an employer, upon a confirmed positive drug or alcohol test by a certified laboratory, notify the employee of the test results by certified mail return receipt requested, and the right to obtain a confirmatory test before taking disciplinary action against an employee. The lowa Supreme Court has held that an employer may not "benefit from an unauthorized drug test by relying on it as a basis to disqualify an employee from unemployment compensation benefits." *Eaton v. Iowa Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 602 N.W.2d 553, 557, 558 (Iowa 1999).

While the employer certainly was within its rights to test and fire the claimant, it failed to provide him an opportunity for a split sample test according to the strict and explicit statutory requirements. Thus, the employer cannot use the results of the drug screen as a basis for disgualification from benefits and benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The No	ovember 18, 2	2015, (referenc	e 02) decis	sion is reverse	ed. The	claimant	was o	discharged
from e	mployment for	r no disqualifyi	ng reason.	Benefits are	allowed,	provided	he is	otherwise
eligible	. Any benefits	s claimed and v	ithheld on	this basis shal	ll be paid.			

Stephanie R. Callahan Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

src/css