# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**RUTH ROTHS** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 110-UI-11083-BT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**NEW HAMPTON CHIROPRACTIC OFFICE** 

Employer

OC: 04/17/11

Claimant: Appellant (1)

871 IAC 26.8(1) - Withdrawal of Appeal

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Ruth Roths (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated May 23, 2011, reference 02, which held that she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because she voluntarily quit her employment with New Hampton Chiropractic Office (employer) to move to another locality. An initial hearing was scheduled for June 16, 2011 with Administrative Law Judge Terence Nice in appeal 11A-UI-06770-NT, but the claimant failed to participate and the earlier decision was affirmed. The claimant appealed and the Employment Appeal Board remanded for a new hearing in an order dated August 19, 2011. A hearing was scheduled for November 1, 2011. Prior to the hearing being held, the appellant requested the appeal be withdrawn. Based on the evidence and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the request to withdraw the appeal should be granted.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having reviewed and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: A request has been made by the appealing party to withdraw the appeal. The request has been submitted verbally and it was tape-recorded.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

871 IAC 26.8(1) provides:

(1) An appeal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the issuance of a decision upon the request of the appellant and with the approval of the presiding officer to whom the case is assigned. Requests for withdrawal may be made in writing or orally, provided the oral request is tape-recorded by the presiding officer.

The administrative law judge has reviewed the records and files herein and concludes that the request of the appealing party to withdraw the appeal should be approved.

## **DECISION:**

The	unemployme	ent insurance	decision	dated	May 23,	2011,	, reference	9 02,	remains	in	effect.
The	request of th	e appealing p	party to w	vithdraw	the app	eal is a	approved,	and the	he decisi	ion	of the
repr	esentative sh	all stand and	remain in	full for	ce and e	ffect.					

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/kjw