IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

TIMOTHY L RODGERS

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-00460-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

TYSON FRESH MEATS INC

Employer

OC: 12/02/12

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.4-3 – Able and Available

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Timothy Rodgers (claimant) appealed a representative's January 11, 2013 decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with Tyson Fresh Meats (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for February 13, 2013. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Dzemal Grsic, Human Resources Clerk/Benefit Counselor.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on March 5, 2012, as a full-time truck loader. He worked through September 14, 2012, when he was arrested. He was incarcerated through November 13, 2012. He was released and the charges were dropped. The claimant returned to the employer but the employer considered the claimant to have abandoned his job when he did not appear for work or notify the employer of his absence.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge finds the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(16) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(16) The claimant is deemed to have left if such claimant becomes incarcerated.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980). When an employee stops appearing for work because he is incarcerated, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant stopped appearing for work because he was incarcerated. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

The second issue is whether the claimant was able and available for work. For the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes she is not.

871 IAC 24.23(12) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(12) If a claimant is in jail or prison, such claimant is not available for work.

When an employee is incarcerated and unable to perform work due to that incarceration he is considered to be unavailable for work. The claimant was incarcerated from September 14 through November 13, 2012. He is considered to be unavailable for work from September 14 through November 13, 2012. The claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits from September 14 through November 13, 2012, due to his unavailability for work.

DECISION:

The representative's January 11, 2013 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits from September 14 through November 13, 2012, due to his unavailability for work.

Beth A. Scheetz

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs