IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CHRISTOPHER C ANUMASO

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-11886-AT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CAMBRIDGE TEMPOSITIONS INC

Employer

OC: 07/31/11

Claimant: Appellant (2R)

Section 96.5-1-j – Voluntary Quit From Temporary Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Christopher C. Anumaso filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated August 31, 2011, reference 01, that disqualified him for benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held October 5, 2011 with Mr. Anumaso participating. Account Manager Wil Ortega participated for the employer, Cambridge Tempositions, Inc. Claimant Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant request reassignment within three working days after the end of his prior assignment?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Christopher C. Anumaso's assignment through Cambridge Tempositions, Inc. with a company known as Quality Associates ended on October 12, 2010. Mr. Anumaso immediately contacted Account Manager Wil Ortega to seek reassignment. Mr. Anumaso did not accept the only assignment that was available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question before the administrative law judge is whether benefits should be denied because Mr. Anumaso failed to request reassignment within three working days. They should not.

The evidence in this record persuades the administrative law judge that Mr. Anumaso met the requirement of Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j by requesting reassignment within three working days after the assignment at Quality Associates ended. The statute in question does not require that an individual accept reassignment. The question of whether the refusal of the assignment was a refusal of suitable work according to Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a is remanded to the Unemployment Insurance Services Division.

Appeal No. 11A-UI-11886-AT

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated August 31, 2011, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant is entitled to receive unemployment insurance benefits, provided he is otherwise eligible. The question of refusal of suitable work is remanded.

Dan Anderson Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css