

**IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

**JESSICA R CERWINSKE**  
Claimant

**OLE ENTERPRISES LLC**  
Employer

**APPEAL 24A-UI-05270-AR-T**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**OC: 05/05/24**  
**Claimant: Respondent (1)**

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct  
Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment  
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

On May 30, 2024, the employer filed an appeal from the May 22, 2024, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on the determination that claimant was discharged from employment without a showing of disqualifying misconduct. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on June 19, 2024. Claimant, Jessica R. Cerwinske, participated. Employer, Ole Enterprises, LLC, participated through General Manager Brandon Petersen. Employer's Exhibits 1 through 5 were admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

**ISSUES:**

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?  
Has the claimant been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits, and if so, can the repayment of those benefits to the agency be waived?  
Can charges to the employer's account be waived?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on October 31, 2019. Claimant last worked as a full-time shift manager. Claimant was separated from employment on April 4, 2024, when she was discharged.

The employer requires that employees arrive to their shifts as scheduled and on time. If an employee expects to be late or absent, they are to call and report that. Two incidents of absence or tardiness in a month may result in discipline up to and including discharge. This information appears in the employee handbook, which is issued to new employees at hire.

On January 8, 2024, Petersen issued claimant a disciplinary warning for tardiness on January 6 and 8, 2024. She was warned that future similar conduct could result in her discharge.

Thereafter, claimant was late or absent on the following occasions: February 22, March 7, 8, 11, 18, 19, 21, 24, and 27, and April 2 and 3, 2024. Claimant was not discharged on any of these occasions because the employer was experiencing staffing issues.

On April 4, 2024, the employer's records indicate that claimant was late by a couple of minutes. In fact, claimant was on time to work, but forgot to clock in. When she alerted the manager on duty, she was told that the manager could not fix the issue. Petersen discharged claimant from employment on April 4, 2024, due to tardiness and absenteeism.

The administrative record indicates that claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of May 5, 2024. Her weekly benefit amount is \$376.00. To date, claimant has received \$0.00 in unemployment insurance benefits. The employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d)(9) provide:

An individual shall be *disqualified for benefits*:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

...

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "*misconduct*" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or even design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

(9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871—24.32(7) provides:

(7) *Excessive unexcused absenteeism.* Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer

The employer has the burden to prove the claimant was discharged for work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law. *Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. *Pierce v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (Iowa Ct. App. 1988).

The employer must prove two elements to establish misconduct based on absenteeism. First, the absences must be excessive. *Sallis v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 437 N.W.2d 895 (Iowa 1989). The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. *Higgins v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 350 N.W.2d 187, 192 (Iowa 1984). Second, the absences must be unexcused. *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 10. The requirement of "unexcused" can be satisfied in two ways. An absence can be unexcused either because it was not for "reasonable grounds," or because it was not "properly reported," holding excused absences are those "with appropriate notice." *Higgins*, 350 N.W.2d at 191; *Cosper*, 321 N.W.2d at 10.

While it is likely that claimant's tardiness was excessive and often unexcused, the administrative law judge concludes that the employer has not carried its burden of establishing that claimant had clear warning that her attendance would cause the end of the employment. The employer's policy states that two occurrences in a month may result in disciplinary action. However, the employer allowed significantly more tardiness and absenteeism than two occurrences in a month without issuing additional disciplinary action or discharging claimant. The final incident was not an incident of tardiness; it was an incident in which claimant forgot to clock in and the mistake could not be fixed by the manager on duty. Claimant had no way of knowing that her tardies would, at some point, result in the end of her employment because the employer had failed to take action on many occasions in the past. The employer has not carried its burden of establishing that claimant had clear warning that her employment was in jeopardy based on her conduct. The separation is not disqualifying. Benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Because the separation is not disqualifying, the issues of overpayment, repayment, and participation are moot.

**DECISION:**

The May 22, 2024, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is AFFIRMED. Claimant was discharged from employment on April 4, 2024, for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. The issues of overpayment, repayment, and participation are moot.



---

Alexis D. Rowe  
Administrative Law Judge

June 20, 2024  
Decision Dated and Mailed

ar/scn

**APPEAL RIGHTS.** If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

**Iowa Employment Appeal Board  
6200 Park Avenue Suite 100  
Des Moines, Iowa 50321  
Fax: (515)281-7191  
Online: [eab.iowa.gov](http://eab.iowa.gov)**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

**AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:**

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

**SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

**DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN.** Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

**Iowa Employment Appeal Board  
6200 Park Avenue Suite 100  
Des Moines, Iowa 50321  
Fax: (515)281-7191  
En línea: [eab.iowa.gov](http://eab.iowa.gov)**

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

**UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:**

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiriera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en <https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf> o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal <https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/>.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

**SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:**

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.