

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

FAYSAL A KHALIF
Claimant

DEE ZEE INC
Employer

APPEAL NO. 22A-UI-03517-B2T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

OC: 12/27/20
Claimant: Appellant (1R)

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) – Part-Time Worker – Same Wages and Hours
Iowa Code § 96.4-3 – Able and Available
Iowa Code § 96.7(2)A(2) – Partial Benefits
Iowa Code § 96.1(A)(37) – Total and Partial Unemployment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the April 15, 2021, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on March 7, 2022. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Sarah Tew. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted to the record. Interpretive Services were provided by CTS Language Link.

ISSUES:

Whether the appeal is timely?
Whether claimant is still employed at the same hours and wages?
Whether claimant is eligible to receive partial benefits?
Whether claimant is able and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A decision was mailed to the claimant's last known address of record on April 15, 2021. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by April 25, 2021. The appeal was not filed until January 27, 2022, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision. Claimant stated his address is good and he regularly receives mail, but he did not receive the April 15, 2021 unemployment insurance decision denying him benefits.

Claimant worked as a full time machine worker for employer. For four days in December 2020 employer's business was shut down. Claimant filed an original claim on December 27, 2021, but did not file a weekly claim after filing his initial claim. Claimant then filed three weekly claims for the period between February 28, 2021 and March 20, 2021 although claimant was working in regular full time hours during that period.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The ten calendar days for appeal begin running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Pursuant to rules Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.2(96)(1) and Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did not have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal as he did not receive the decision denying benefits.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was potentially due to an Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal is therefore deemed timely filed pursuant to Iowa Code Section 96.6-2, and the administrative law judge retains jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See, *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant is not partially unemployed after the date of February 28, 2021.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Code section 96.19(38) provides:

"Total and partial unemployment".

a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.

b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:

(1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

(2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

Because the claimant was employed full time for the entire period he was filing for unemployment benefits from February 28 through March 20, 2021, claimant is not considered partially unemployed. Benefits are denied for that period.

This matter will be remanded to the fact finder for a determination of the separation issue between the parties.

DECISION:

The April 15, 2021, reference 01, decision is affirmed. Although the appeal in this case was deemed timely, the decision of the representative remains in effect as the claimant was not partially unemployed for the period of February 28 through March 20, 2021.

This matter will be remanded to the fact finder for a determination of the separation issue between the parties.



Blair A. Bennett
Administrative Law Judge

March 22, 2022
Decision Dated and Mailed

bab/mh