

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JAMES E SCHICK**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 19A-UI-00494-JTT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 10/21/18  
Claimant: Appellant (6)**

Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) – Default Decision  
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) – Dismissal of Appeal on Default

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

James Schick filed a timely appeal from the January 10, 2019, reference 03, decision that held he was overpaid \$639.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for three weeks between October 21, 2018 and November 10, 2018, based on an earlier decision that disqualified him for benefits in connection with his discharge from employment with Total Detailing Auto Spa, L.L.C. A notice of hearing was mailed to Mr. Schick's last-known addresses of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 9:05 a.m. on February 1, 2019. The hearing in this matter was consolidated with the hearing in Appeal Number 19A-UI-00493-JTT. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the claimant/appellant, Mr. Schick, failed to respond to the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing. Mr. Schick's grandmother, Elaine Schick, registered a telephone for the hearing but was not accompanied by Mr. Schick at the time of the hearing. Based upon the claimant/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

**ISSUE:**

Should the appeal be dismissed based upon the claimant/appellant not participating in the hearing?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Claimant James Schick is the appellant in this matter and in a companion appeal number. Mr. Schick was properly notified of the appeal hearing set for 9:05 a.m. on February 1, 2019 through the hearing notice that was mailed to his last-known address of record on January 22, 2019. Because there were two appeal numbers set for a consolidated hearing, the Appeals Bureau mailed two hearing notices to Mr. Schick regarding the same appeal hearing. Mr. Schick failed to comply with the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing. Mr. Schick did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. Though Mr. Schick did not register a telephone number for the hearing, his grandmother, Elaine Schick, took it upon herself to register her name and number for the hearing. At the scheduled start of the

hearing, the administrative law judge contacted Ms. Schick at the number she had registered and inquired whether the claimant, James Schick, was with her, but he was not. The administrative law judge left the hearing record open until 9:20 a.m. and had the employer in interest in the companion appeal number stand by in order to provide Mr. Schick opportunity to appear for and participate in the appeal hearing he had requested. When Mr. Schick did not appear for the hearing, the administrative law judge closed the hearing record and dismissed the employer at 9:21 a.m. As of the drafting of this decision on the afternoon of February 1, 2019, Mr. Schick has not contacted the Appeals Bureau regarding the hearing he missed.

The January 10, 2019, reference 03, decision held that Mr. Schick was overpaid \$639.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for three weeks between October 21, 2018 and November 10, 2018, based on an earlier decision that disqualified him for benefits in connection with his discharge from employment with Total Detailing Auto Spa, L.L.C.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The Iowa Administrative Procedures Act at Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall deny the motion to vacate.

Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-26.14(7) provides:

(7) If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provide in Iowa Code section 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing in writing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.

a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.

b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party.

c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-26.8(4) provides:

(4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision must be made in writing. If necessary, the presiding officer may hear, ex parte, additional information regarding the request for reopening. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as grounds for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.

The claimant/appellant appealed the representative's decision but failed to participate in the hearing. The claimant/appellant has therefore defaulted on his appeal pursuant to Iowa Code §17A.12(3) and Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

If the claimant/appellant disagrees with this decision, pursuant to the rule, the claimant/appellant must make a written request to the administrative law judge that the hearing be reopened within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the end of this decision and must explain the good cause that prevented the claimant/appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time.

**DECISION:**

The January 10, 2019, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The decision that held the claimant was overpaid \$639.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for three weeks between October 21, 2018 and November 10, 2018 remains in effect.

---

James E. Timberland  
Administrative Law Judge  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau  
Iowa Workforce Development  
1000 East Grand Avenue  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209  
Fax 515-478-3528

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/rvs