# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RAYMOND M LE BLANC

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-08252-LT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

LABOR READY MIDWEST INC

Employer

OC: 07/22/07 R: 03 Claimant: Appellant (4)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) - Able and Available Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving - Regualification

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the August 24, 2007, reference 02, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on September 13, 2007. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Dixie Derby. The employer's proposed exhibits were found misfiled after the hearing and were not admitted or used in making this decision.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a banquet server at the Marriott, most recently on March 3, 2007, and completed the assignment. He was not aware of work available the next day and did not report for work or otherwise contact employer on March 4. Employer called him on March 5 to offer work and he said he was unavailable because of his other job at another temporary staffing agency. On March 8 employer spoke to him about more work and he said he would call back but never did. Employer left a message for March 10 for work the next week. There was no answer and no return call. On March 14 claimant told Labor Ready he was working full time for DES on 12-hour shifts, but administrative records indicate he was not working full time or did not report full time wages in any event. He declined to return to Labor Ready to offer services, since he was looking for full-time work, but could have continued to do so on days DES and Labor Ready did not have work for him. Claimant has requalified for benefits after April 7, 2007.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work.

# Iowa Code § 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

#### 871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code § 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code § 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this

circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

# Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

# 871 IAC 24.22(2) provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.

## 871 IAC 24.23(3), (16) provides:

- (3) If an individual places restrictions on employability as to the wages and type of work that is acceptable and when considering the length of unemployment, such individual has no reasonable expectancy of securing work, such individual will be deemed not to have met the availability requirements of lowa Code § 96.4(3).
- (16) Where availability for work is unduly limited because a claimant is not willing to work during the hours in which suitable work for the claimant is available.

Since claimant completed the one-day assignment on March 3, he did not quit his job, because he did speak to employer about his availability within three working days. However, he did make himself unavailable to work hours one would expect of a temporary day laborer and could have searched for permanent full-time employment when temporary work was not available. Since the administrative record reflects requalification after April 7, 2007, benefits are denied from March 4, 2007 through April 7, 2007.

# **DECISION:**

| The August 24, 2007, reference 02, decision is modified in   | favor of the appellant. The | : claimant |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| is not able to work and available from March 4, 2007 thro    | ough April 7, 2007 and ber  | nefits are |
| denied for that period, since he has requalified thereafter. | -                           |            |

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/kjw