

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

PATRICIA L FINLEY
708 OREGON ST
WATERLOO IA 50702

ALLIED INTERSTATE INC
c/o SHEAKLEY UNISERVICE INC
PO BOX 1160
COLUMBUS OH 43216-1160

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-06437-H2T
OC: 04-11-04 R: 03
Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.3-5 – Layoff Due to Business Closing

STATEMENT OF CASE:

Claimant filed a timely appeal from the June 4, 2004, reference 01, decision that denied the request to have the claim redetermined as a business closing. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on July 7, 2004. Claimant did participate. Employer did participate through LuAnn Kafer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time collector through April 5, 2004 when she was laid off due to a lack of work. The business is still operating with 16 associates and will likely close in another two weeks or so without any other business operating at that location.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was not laid off due to a business closure as of April 5, 2004 pending a closure on an undetermined date in July 2004.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-5 provides:

5. Duration of benefits. The maximum total amount of benefits payable to an eligible individual during a benefit year shall not exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account during the individual's base period, or twenty-six times the individual's weekly benefit amount, whichever is the lesser. The director shall maintain a separate account for each individual who earns wages in insured work. The director shall compute wage credits for each individual by crediting the individual's account with one-third of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. However, the director shall recompute wage credits for an individual who is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, by crediting the individual's account with one-half, instead of one-third, of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. Benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the base period wage credits in the individual's account which have not been previously charged, in the inverse chronological order as the wages on which the wage credits are based were paid. However if the state "off indicator" is in effect and if the individual is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, the maximum benefits payable shall be extended to thirty-nine times the individual's weekly benefit amount, but not to exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account.

871 IAC 24.29(2) provides:

(2) Going out of business means any factory, establishment, or other premises of an employer which closes its door and ceases to function as a business; however, an employer is not considered to have gone out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises in any case in which the employer sells or otherwise transfers the business to another employer, and the successor employer continues to operate the business.

While claimant was laid off in a period of ramping down business, the business is not yet closed and continues to operate at reduced staffing levels. Therefore, claimant is not entitled to a recalculation of benefits at this time. At such time as the business does close, claimant shall be eligible for a redetermination of benefits at that time.

DECISION:

The June 4, 2004, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was not laid off due to a business closure. Recalculation of benefits is denied until such time as the business does actually close. At that point, benefits shall be recalculated.

NOTE TO PARTIES: At such time as the business does close or determines an actual closing date, employer may fax a letter of notification of the closing to the attention of Rose Kell at

(515) 242-0494 along with the names and social security numbers of those employees who were laid off before the actual closing date. If claimants have not received notification of the redetermination allowance within a reasonable time after the closing, they may contact Rose Kell at (515) 242-0455.

tkh/tjc