IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ALLEGRA R FLOWERS

APPEAL 24A-UI-01691-LJ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

BOMGAARS SUPPLY INC

Employer

OC: 01/14/24 Claimant: Appellant (4)

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge from Employment lowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit from Employment lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On February 12, 2024, claimant Allegra R. Flowers filed an appeal from the February 7, 2024 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits, determining she voluntarily quit employment with Bomgaars Supply Inc. on January 5, 2024 due to a non-work-related illness or injury. The Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau mailed notice of the hearing on February 16, 2024. Administrative Law Judge Elizabeth A. Johnson held a telephonic hearing at 11:00 a.m. on Tuesday, March 5, 2024. Claimant Allegra R. Flowers personally participated. Employer Bomgaars Supply Inc. did not appear at the hearing time and did not participate. No exhibits were offered or admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

The hearing record closed at 11:22 a.m. Cathy Crowl with Bomgaars Supply Inc. sent an email at 12:20 p.m. on Tuesday, March 5, 2024, stating she was unable to participate in the hearing, as she was helping an employee who sustained an injury. A clerk with the UI Appeals Bureau responded to Ms. Crowl notifying her that the record had closed, but that she would receive a decision with appeal rights and would have the opportunity to explain to the Employment Appeal Board why she was unable to participate and to request another hearing.

ISSUES:

Did the claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer or was she discharged for reasons related to job misconduct sufficient to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for Bomgaars Supply Inc. on June 30, 2023. She worked full-time hours for the employer as a puller. Claimant last reported to work on January 5, 2024. She learned that her employment ended on January 24, 2024, when Cathy in HR told her that the employer had discharged her for failing to maintain contact during a leave of absence.

On January 8, 2024, claimant broke her hip while away from work. She contacted Luke, her boss, and told him that she could not come to work that day because she was on her way to the hospital in severe pain. Claimant next called the employer on January 10 and spoke with Cathy in HR. She told Cathy that she had broken her hip and would need to be off work for multiple weeks. Cathy told her that she would not qualify for FMLA-covered leave, as she had not worked for the employer long enough. Cathy then said the employer could provide her with a three-week leave of absence. She told claimant to check in with the employer occasionally during the leave of absence, but she did not set any specific requirements for these check-in intervals. Notably, Cathy told claimant she did not have to check in daily or even weekly. On January 24, claimant reached out to Cathy to provide an update on her medical condition and to report that she was still not able to return to work. At that time, Cathy informed claimant that she had been discharged for failing to call and check in with her.

Claimant is ready to return to the workforce. Surgeon Joshua T. Hockett released claimant to return to work effective February 5, 2024, to seated desk duties as tolerated. She is completing outpatient therapy to regain full mobility, and she anticipates her surgeon will lift her current restrictions. While claimant has not performed desk jobs in the past, she has performed well on Indeed assessments for Microsoft programs and believes she would be successful as a receptionist or desk clerk. Claimant has significant experience in food service and cashier duties as well.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did not quit but was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Did the Claimant Quit or Was She Discharged from Employment?

lowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. The burden of proof rests with the employer to show that the claimant voluntarily left the employment. *Irving v. Empl. App. Bd.*, 15-0104, 2016 WL 3125854, (Iowa June 3, 2016). A voluntary quitting of employment requires that an employee exercise a voluntary choice between remaining employed or terminating the employment relationship. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). It requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). Where there is no expressed intention or act to sever the relationship, the case must be analyzed as a discharge from employment. *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

Here, the claimant did not indicate she had any intent to quit her job. Her testimony – unrefuted and the only evidence in the record on the issue – shows that the employer ended the employment relationship. Therefore, this case shall be analyzed as a discharge.

Was the Claimant Discharged from Employment for Disqualifying Misconduct?

lowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d) provide:

An individual shall be *disqualified for benefits:*

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

•••

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "*misconduct*" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or even design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982).

A determination as to whether an employee's act is misconduct does not rest solely on the interpretation or application of the employer's policy or rule. A violation is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy. The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. *Pierce v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (Iowa Ct. App. 1988). Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job *Serv.*, 351 N.W.2d 806 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(4) provides:

(4) Report required. The claimant's statement and the employer's statement must give detailed facts as to the specific reason for the claimant's discharge. Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. In cases where a suspension or disciplinary layoff exists, the

claimant is considered as discharged, and the issue of misconduct shall be resolved.

In an at-will employment environment, an employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy. If the employer fails to meet its burden of proving job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, however, it incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. Here, the evidence shows claimant followed the employer's instructions and checked in with them after a two-week period during her leave of absence. As the employer had not given claimant any instructions on how often to report during the leave – and had specifically told her that she did not need to report daily or even weekly – reporting after two weeks is reasonable. If the employer expected claimant to report back sooner, it should have provided her more explicit instructions. The employer has not met its burden of proving claimant was discharged for disqualifying misconduct. Benefits are allowed based on claimant's separation, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Is the Claimant Able to and Available for Work?

The next issue to determine is whether claimant is able to and available for work.

lowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.1A, subsection 37, paragraph "b", subparagraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.1A, subsection 37, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.

a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required...

(2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23 provides in relevant subparts:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(1) An individual who is ill and presently not able to perform work due to illness.

(2) An individual presently in the hospital is deemed not to meet the availability requirements of Iowa Code section 96.4(2) and benefits will be denied until a change in status and the individual can meet the eligibility requirements. Such individual must renew the claim at once if unemployed.

(6) If an individual has a medical report on file submitted by a physician, stating such individual is not presently able to work.

(10) The claimant requested and was granted a leave of absence, such period is deemed to be a period of voluntary unemployment and shall be considered ineligible for benefits for such period.

(34) Where the claimant is not able to work due to personal injury.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

For an unemployed individual to be eligible to receive benefits, she must be able to work, available for work, and actively seeking work as required by the unemployment insurance law. Iowa Code § 96.4(3). The burden is on the claimant to establish that she is able and available for work within the meaning of the statute. Iowa Code § 96.6(2); Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22.

Here, claimant provided a note releasing her to return to work effective Monday, February 5, 2024. It does not appear she had any barriers to her employability at that time. Additionally, she had been discharged from Bomgaars Supply Inc. prior to that time, so claimant's ability to and availability for work are no longer measured by her position with that employer but by her ability work in gainful, meaningful employment available in her labor market. Claimant has shown this, demonstrating she is proficient in multiple computer programs and has found positions to apply for each week that fit her current skill set and abilities. Claimant has established her ability to and availability for work effective the week of February 4, 2024.

DECISION:

The February 7, 2024 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is modified in favor of the claimant/appellant. Claimant did not quit. The employer discharged claimant on January 24, 2024 for no disqualifying reason.

Claimant is able to and available for work effective February 4, 2024, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Any benefits claimed and withheld on this basis shall be paid.

Elizabeth A. Johnson Administrative Law Judge

March 7, 2024 Decision Dated and Mailed

lj/scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.

2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.

3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court Lerk of Court Lerk of Court S.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.

4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.