## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

69 01F7 (0 06) 2001079 EL

	00-0137 (3-00) - 3031078 - El
LORI KORTE Claimant	APPEAL NO: 15A-UI-07019-JE-T
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
ARMSTRONG-RINGSTED COMM SCHOOL Employer	
	OC: 05/24/15 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.4-5 - Reasonable Assurance

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the June 11, 2015, reference 01 decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on July 20, 2015. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer did not provide a phone number prior to the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant received a reasonable assurance for employment in the next academic year.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time paraprofessional teacher's aide for Armstrong-Ringsted Community School District during the 2014 - 2015 school year. She is not a contract employee but will be going back to Armstrong-Ringsted in the fall of 2015 and will be working the 2014-2015 school year as she received a letter in June 2015 stating she would be returning in August 2015.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did have a reasonable assurance of returning to work the following academic year.

Public Law 94-566 provides:

(c) An individual who performs services for an educational institution or agency in a capacity (other than an instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity) shall not be eligible to receive a payment of assistance or a waiting period credit with respect

to any week commencing during a period between two successive academic years or terms if:

(1) Such individual performed such services for any educational institution or agency in the first of such academic years or terms; and

(2) There is a reasonable assurance that such individual will perform services for any educational institution or agency in any capacity (other than an instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity) in the second of such academic years or terms.

Iowa Code § 96.4-5-a, b and c provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

5. Benefits based on service in employment in a nonprofit organization or government entity, defined in section 96.19, subsection 18, are payable in the same amount, on the same terms and subject to the same conditions as compensation payable on the same basis of other service subject to this chapter, except that:

a. Benefits based on service in an instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity in an educational institution including service in or provided to or on behalf of an educational institution while in the employ of an educational service agency, a government entity, or a nonprofit organization shall not be paid to an individual for any week of unemployment which begins during the period between two successive academic years or during a similar period between two regular terms, whether or not successive, or during a period of paid sabbatical leave provided for in the individual's contract, if the individual has a contract or reasonable assurance that the individual will perform services in any such capacity for any educational institution for both such academic years or both such terms.

b. Benefits based on service in any other capacity for an educational institution including service in or provided to or on behalf of an educational institution while in the employ of an educational service agency, a government entity, or a nonprofit organization, shall not be paid to an individual for any week of unemployment which begins during the period between two successive academic years or terms, if the individual performs the services in the first of such academic years or terms and has reasonable assurance that the individual will perform services for the second of such academic years or terms. If benefits are denied to an individual for any week as a result of this paragraph and the individual is not offered an opportunity to perform the services for an educational institution for the second of such academic years or terms, the individual is entitled to retroactive payments of benefits for each week for which the individual filed a timely claim for benefits and for which benefits were denied solely by reason of this paragraph.

c. With respect to services for an educational institution in any capacity under paragraph "a" or "b", benefits shall not be paid to an individual for any week of unemployment which begins during an established and customary vacation period or holiday recess if the individual performs the services in the period immediately before such vacation period or holiday recess, and the individual has reasonable assurance that the individual will perform the services in the period immediately following such vacation period or holiday recess.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.51(6) provides:

School definitions.

(6) Reasonable assurance, as applicable to an employee of an educational institution, means a written, verbal, or implied agreement that the employee will perform services in the same or similar capacity, which is not substantially less in economic terms and conditions, during the ensuing academic year or term. It need not be a formal written contract. To constitute a reasonable assurance of reemployment for the ensuing academic year or term, an individual must be notified of such reemployment.

While the claimant does not work under a formal contract, she is an employee of a school district and as a paraprofessional she performs work of an instructional nature. She was notified she would be returning to work this academic year in a letter she received in June 2015. Under these circumstances, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant does have a reasonable assurance of employment between two successive academic years.

## DECISION:

The June 11, 2015, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant does have reasonable assurance of employment between successive academic years. Therefore, benefits must be denied.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/mak