IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

AMANDA B INGOLI Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-01076-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WELLS FARGO BANK NA

Employer

OC: 07/29/12 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the August 22, 2012 (reference 01) decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on February 28, 2013. Claimant participated. Employer did not respond to the hearing notice instruction and did not participate. Department's Exhibit D-1 was received. Claimant's Exhibit A was received. The administrative law judge took judicial notice of the administrative record, including documentation sent for fact-finding interviews related to other employment.

ISSUES:

Is the claimant's appeal timely? Is the claimant able to and available for work effective July 29, 2012?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant filed an appeal but it was not received by the Appeals Bureau. She was given incorrect advice from the claims department to wait while a supervisor reviews her file. That delayed the filing of this appeal.

Claimant was employed full-time as a home preservation specialist and is on a medical leave of absence. Her last day of work was June 15, 2012 when she was placed on a medical leave for various medical issues. No treating physician has connected her medical condition to her work at Wells Fargo. She remains on medical work restrictions that are not consistent with her job duties at Wells Fargo. She is still attempting to find an open position within Wells Fargo that fits her temporary work restrictions while she awaits a final and full medical release. Her next appointment is April 5, 2013. In the meantime she had found a part-time job elsewhere on January 2, 2013.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether claimant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disgualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disgualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant filed an appeal in a timely manner but it was not received. Immediately upon receipt of information to that effect, a second appeal was filed. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

The remaining issue is whether claimant is able to work at her Wells Fargo job. The administrative law judge concludes that she is not.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept

suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.

a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

871 IAC 24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a physician and has not been released as being able to work.

Inasmuch as the medical condition was not work related and the treating physician has not released the claimant to return to work without restriction, she has not established her ability to work. The employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work-related medical condition, thus until claimant is released to perform her full work duties, she is not considered able to or available for work.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated August 22, 2012 (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant's appeal is timely but she is not able to work and available for work effective July 29, 2012. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a she full medical release to return to work or is involuntarily separated before that time.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/pjs