IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RICKIE E CARMICHAEL Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-19035-ST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ABMA SERVICES Employer

> Original Claim: 09/020/09 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Protest Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer appealed a department decision dated December 17, 2009, reference 02, that held it failed to timely protest the claimant's separation from employment on November 16, 2009, and that allowed benefits. A telephone hearing was held on February 26, 2010. The claimant participated. Chris Abma, Owner, and Phil Wares, employee, participated for the employer. Employer Exhibit 1 was received as evidence.

ISSUES:

Whether the employer filed a timely appeal.

Whether the claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having considered the evidence in the record, finds: The department mailed the notice of claim to the employer's address of record on November 30, 2009 and it lists the due date as December 10. The employer-owner signed the protest on December 11 and faxed it to the department on December 14. The employer delayed the protest due to a snowstorm on December 6.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code Section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the

decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. <u>Gaskins v.</u> <u>Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.</u>, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); <u>Johnson v. Board of Adjustment</u>, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. <u>Messina v. IDJS</u>, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. <u>Franklin v. IDJS</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. <u>Beardslee v. IDJS</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also <u>In re Appeal of Elliott</u> 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. <u>Hendren v. IESC</u>, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); <u>Smith v. IESC</u>, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes the employer failed to file a timely protest. Even if the employer experienced a brief delay prior to the due date on account of a snowstorm, it signed the protest on December and then delayed three days to fax it to the department.

DECISION:

The department decision dated December 17, 2009, reference 02, is affirmed. The employer failed to file a timely protest, and the department decision remains in force and affect. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Randy L. Stephenson Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

rls/kjw