IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

LUCRETIA C HOFFMAN

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-DUA-01604-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 05/17/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timely Appeal PL 116-136, Sec. 2102 – Federal Pandemic Unemployment Assistance 20 CFR 625 – Disaster Unemployment Assistance

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Lucretia C Hoffman, the claimant/appellant, filed an appeal from the Iowa Workforce Development decision dated May 26, 2021 that determined she was not eligible for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) benefits. Ms. Hoffman was properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on July 10, 2021. Ms. Hoffman participated and testified. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Is Ms. Hoffman's appeal filed on time?

Is Ms. Hoffman eligible for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to Ms. Hoffman at the correct address on May 26, 2021. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) Appeals Section by June 6, 2021. If the date falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the appeal period is extended to the next working day. June 6, 2021 was a Sunday; therefore, the deadline was extended to Monday, June 7, 2021.

Ms. Hoffman received the decision on, or about, June 7, 2021. Ms. Hoffman did not think she could file an appeal because she thought the deadline had passed. On June 8, 2021, Ms. Hoffman contacted IWD and the representative told her that she could file an appeal. Ms. Hoffman filed an appeal online on June 8, 2021. The appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on June 8, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the Ms. Hoffman's appeal was not filed on time.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Ms. Hoffman received the decision in the mail before the deadline and, therefore, could have filed an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. The notice provision of the decision was valid. Ms. Hoffman's delay was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay. Ms. Hoffman's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issues in this matter.

DECISION:

Ms. Hoffman's appeal was not filed on time. The May 26, 2021 unemployment insurance decision denying PUA benefits is affirmed.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

July 21, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

dz/scn