IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

BRENDA A JONES

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-03705-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 03/29/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(13) – Disqualification due to outstanding fraud overpayment Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35 – Filing

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the April 1, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on May 22, 2020, at 3:30 p.m. Claimant participated. Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) submitted documents in lieu of participating personally. Department's Exhibits 1 – 4 were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Whether claimant filed a timely appeal.

Whether claimant is ineligible for benefits due to an outstanding fraud overpayment balance.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to claimant at the correct address on April 1, 2020. Claimant does not know when the decision arrived at her address. Mail from Des Moines, Iowa is usually received in Waterloo, Iowa within three to four days of mailing. Claimant has no reason to believe that was not the case for the decision.

The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development Appeals Section by April 11, 2020. However, if the due date falls on a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday, the appeal period is extended to the next working day. April 11, 2020 was a Saturday. Therefore, the appeal deadline was extended to Monday, April 13, 2020. Claimant appealed the decision via mail on May 2, 2020, as evidenced by the postmark. Claimant's appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on May 4, 2020.

Claimant did not appeal the decision prior to the deadline because she was sick. Claimant collected the mail but did not review it immediately. When claimant was no longer ill, she

reviewed the mail and read the decision. Claimant called IWD and submitted her appeal via mail the same day.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's appeal was untimely.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1)(a) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion? *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

Claimant received the decision on or before April 6, 2020, which was seven days prior to the appeal deadline. Claimant mailed her appeal 26 days after she received the decision in the mail and 19 days after the appeal deadline expired. Any delay by claimant in submitting her appeal was due to her decision not to promptly review and respond to her mail. The delay was not due to any agency error or misinformation or delay of the United States Postal Service. The appeal was not timely. Therefore, the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal.

Note to Claimant: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information.

DECISION:

Claimant's appeal was not timely. The administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of the representative. The April 1, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed.

Adrienne C. Williamson

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515)478-3528

May 27, 2020

Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/scn