Iowa Workforce Development
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Decision Of The Administrative Law Judge 68-0157 (7-97) - 3091078 - EI

KELLY S MABRIER
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Appeal Number:
05A-UI-05613-BT

## OC: 05/01/05

R: 01
Claimant: Respondent (2)
This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, $4^{\text {th }}$ FloorLucas Building, Des Moines, lowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.
(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated \& Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit
Section 96.3-7 - Overpayment

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated May 16, 2005, reference 01, which held that Kelly Mabrier (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on June 17, 2005. The hearing was originally scheduled for June 15, 2005 but was rescheduled with the verbal consent of both parties. The claimant had not called in her telephone number but was called at the telephone number of record; she
was not available, and therefore, did not participate. The employer participated through Carl Fitzgerald, Store Manager, and Herb Dunn, Front-End Manager.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a full-time check-out supervisor from February 2001 through March 25, 2005. She asked for a couple days off work to move and was scheduled to return to work on March 22, 2005. She failed to return to work or call the employer. After the third day of no-call/no-show, the claimant was considered to have voluntarily quit pursuant to the employer's attendance policy.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective May 1, 2005 and has received benefits after the separation from employment in the amount of $\$ 2,106.00$.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue to be determined is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if she voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code section 96.5-1. The claimant effectively quit her employment after she was a no-call/no-show for three consecutive days.

871 IAC 24.25(2) and (4) provides:
Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5 , subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10 . The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:
(2) The claimant moved to a different locality.
(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

An employee quits her job only if she intends to quit and carries out that intent by some overt act. Peck v. Employment Appeal Bd., 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). The claimant asked for time off to move but failed to return to work as scheduled. Her failure to return to work demonstrated her intent to quit and acted to carry out that intent. The evidence confirms she voluntarily quit because she moved to North Dakota. It is the claimant's burden to prove that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code $\S 96.6-2$. The claimant has not satisfied that burden. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:
7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

## DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated May 16, 2005, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until she has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of $\$ 2,106.00$.
sdb/sc

