IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

JOSEPH J BIELEMA

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-03442-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

REMEDY INTELLIGENT STAFFING INC

Employer

OC: 02/22/15

Claimant: Respondent (4)

Iowa Code Chapter 96 – Requalification Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the March 13, 2015 (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits and found the protest untimely without having held a fact-finding interview pursuant to lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.9(2)b. After due notice was issued, a hearing was scheduled to be held by telephone conference call on April 14, 2015. The employer responded to the hearing notice instructions but no hearing was held as there was sufficient evidence in the administrative record, appeal letter, and accompanying documents to resolve the matter without testimony.

ISSUES:

Is the employer's protest timely?

Has the claimant requalified for benefits since the separation from this employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant's SIDES notice of claim was emailed to the employer's address of record and the employer completed the protest on March 3, 2015 but received an "exception" notice. A paper copy of the protest in response to the notice of claim was mailed the same day, before the due date of March 9, 2015. The claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation from the employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue is whether employer's protest is timely. The administrative law judge concludes it is.

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

The law provides that all interested parties shall be promptly notified about an individual filing a claim. The parties have ten days from the date of mailing (whether electronically or via the USPS) the notice of claim to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). Another portion of lowa Code § 96.6(2) dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the Iowa Supreme Court has held that this statute clearly limits the time to do so, and compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. Beardslee v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979). The reasoning and holding of the Beardslee Court is considered controlling on the portion of Iowa Code § 96.6(2) that deals with the time limit to file a protest after the notice of claim has been mailed to the The employer received the notice of claim within the protest period but has established a legal excuse for filing its protest after the deadline. Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). When the Department allows employers to submit a protest via SIDES, the Department has the responsibility to make sure its system works properly and, in this case, did not. Based on the evidence, the Appeals Bureau has legal jurisdiction to determine whether the employer's account can be relieved from charges. The administrative law judge further concludes that the claimant has regualified for benefits since the separation from this employer. Accordingly, benefits are allowed and the account of the employer shall not be charged.

DECISION:

dml/can

The March 13, 2015 (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The employer has filed a timely protest and the claimant has requalified for benefits since the separation. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of the employer shall not be charged.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	