IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

TERMALE A TELLIS Claimant

APPEAL 23A-UI-08337-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

TYSON FRESH MEATS INC Employer

> OC: 07/30/23 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Termale A. Tellis, the claimant/appellant,¹ appealed the Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) Agust 21, 2023 (reference 01) unemployment insurance (UI) decision. The decision denied Mr. Tellis REGULAR (state) UI benefits because IWD concluded he voluntarily quit on August 4, 2023 for personal reasons and the employer did not cause his quitting. On September 1, 2023 the Iowa Department of Inspections, Appeals, and Licensing, UI Appeals Bureau mailed a notice of hearing to Mr. Tellis and the employer for a telephone hearing scheduled for September 14, 2023.

The undersigned administrative law judge held a telephone hearing on September 14, 2023. Mr. Tellis participated personally. The employer participated through Anel Mercardo, human resources partner. The undersigned administrative law judge admitted Employe's Exhibit 1 as evidence.

ISSUE:

Did Mr. Tellis voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer, or did the employer discharge Mr. Tellis from employment for disqualifying, job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Mr. Tellis began working for the employer in April 2022. He worked as a full-time employee until October 2022 when he went back to the school and became a part-time employee. His employment ended on August 2, 2023.

Mr. Tellis' work schedule when he became a part-time employee was Tuesdays through Thursdays from 6:00 a.m. through 3:30 p.m. The weekend of July 22-23 Mr. Tellis injured his back outside of work. Mr. Tellis called the employer's attendance line on Tuesday, July 25 and reported that he could not attend work due to illness. The next day, Mr. Tellis went to the hospital. He did not call in that day. The following day, Mr. Tellis went to work, immediately went to the employer's nurse's office, and gave the nurse his doctor's note excusing him from

¹ Claimant is the person who filed the UI claim with IWD. Appellant is the person or employer who filed the appeal.

work the previous day. Mr. Tellis brought the medicines the hospital doctor had prescribed for him and asked the nurse about the medicines. The nurse reviewed the medicines and told Mr. Tellis that he could not work because of the side effects of the medicines. The nurse sent Mr. Tellis home for the day.

The following Tuesday, August 1, Mr. Tellis called the employer's attendance line and reported that he could not attend work due to illness. The next day, Mr. Tellis went to work as scheduled. When he arrived at work, Mr. Tellis' supervisor told him that the employer had fired him the previous day. The employer ended Mr. Tellis employment because he accrued too many attendance points.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the undersigned concludes Mr. Tellis did not quit. The employer discharged Mr. Tellis from employment for a reason that does not disqualify him from receiving UI benefits.

lowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d) provide, in relevant part:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

•••

(9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(8) provides:

(8) *Past acts of misconduct.* While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of a current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act or acts. The termination of employment must be based on a current act.

The purpose of the subrule is to assure that an employer does not save up acts of misconduct and spring them on an employee when an independent desire to terminate arises.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct.² The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating the claimant from employment, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits.³ Misconduct must be "substantial" to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits.⁴

In an at-will employment environment an employer may discharge an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, it incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. A determination as to whether an employee's act is misconduct does not rest solely on the interpretation or application of the employer's policy or rule. A violation of the employer's policy or rule is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy.

The most recent incident leading to the employer discharging Mr. Tellis must be a current act of misconduct to disqualify him from receiving UI benefits. The most recent act for which the employer terminated Mr. Tellis' employment was for his August 1, 2023 call-in. Mr. Tellis' absence that day was for a reasonable ground – illness – and he properly reported it to the employer. This absence is excused and is not misconduct. The employer has not established a current act of misconduct on the part of Mr. Tellis. Mr. Tellis is eligible for UI benefits/

DECISION:

The August 21, 2023 (reference 01) UI decision is REVERSED. The employer discharged Mr. Tellis from employment for a reason that does not disqualify him from receiving UI benefits. Mr. Tellis is eligible for UI benefits, as long as no other decision denies him UI benefits.

Kintal 3ra

Daniel Zeno Administrative Law Judge

September 15, 2023 Decision Dated and Mailed

DZ/jkb

² Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982).

³ Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

⁴ Newman v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984).

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.