IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JEFF D LONG

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-07458-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WORKSOURCE

Employer

OC: 04/10/11

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1-j – Separation from Temporary Employer

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Jeff Long (claimant) appealed a representative's May 31, 2011 decision (reference 03) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits due to his separation from work with Worksource (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on June 30, 2011. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Nancy Parli, branch manager.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The employer is a temporary employment service. The claimant performed services from June 19 through September 24, 2010, and from April 14 through 15, 2011. He did not sign a document indicating that he was to contact the employer within three days following the completion of an assignment to request placement in a new assignment. The employer usually has employees sign for receipt of the document, which is part of the contract for hire. The claimant completed his last assignment on April 15, 2011, and sought reassignment from the employer. No work was available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was separated from the employer and is not disqualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Under Iowa Code, the employer must advise the claimant of the three-day notice requirement and give the claimant a copy of that requirement. The notice requirement cannot be a part of the contract for hire. The employer did not provide the claimant with the proper notice requirements and has, therefore, failed to satisfy the requirements of Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j. Benefits are allowed.

The claimant's and the employer's testimony is inconsistent. The administrative law judge finds the claimant's testimony to be more credible, because he was an eyewitness to the events surrounding his separation. The employer was testifying based on business documents, some of which were not in the employer's possession.

DECISION:

Th	e representa	tive's	May 31,	2011	decision	(reference 03	s) is	reversed.	The	claimant	was
sep	parated from	employ	yment ar	nd is eli	igible to re	eceive unemp	loym	ent insuran	ce be	nefits.	

Doth A Coboots

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/kjw