IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

CERIECE G STILLMAN

Claimant

APPEAL 19A-UI-03388-SC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

TEAM STAFFING SOLUTIONS INC

Employer

OC: 08/12/18

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Quitting – Temporary Employment

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 - Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On April 24, 2019, Team Staffing Solutions, Inc. (employer) filed an appeal from the April 18, 2019, reference 03, unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based upon the determination Ceriece G. Stillman (claimant) contacted the employer within three days of the end of her assignment and asked for another assignment. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on May 13, 2019. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated through HR Generalist Sarah Fiedler. The Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted into the record without objection.

ISSUES:

Did the claimant quit by not reporting for additional work assignments within three business days of the end of the last assignment?

Has the claimant been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits and, if so, can the repayment of those benefits to the agency be waived?

Can charges to the employer's account be waived?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed in a full-time temp to hire position as a Warehouse associate beginning on September 10, 2018 with the employer's client Schenker. The claimant's last day worked at the assignment was March 7, 2019. The employer has a policy that states an employee has three days from the end of an assignment to notify the assignment has ended and request additional work. If an employee does not abide by that rule, he or she is considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

Schenker made a work offer to the claimant at the start of March 2019. The claimant accepted the offer and the rollover process began. During the transition, the claimant was still considered the employer's employee and received her paychecks from the employer. On March 8, the claimant was notified she was being suspended with pay while Schenker determined whether

she could be hired given the information from her background check. On or about March 17, Schenker rescinded its job offer via email and ended the claimant's assignment. The claimant did not contact the employer.

On March 27, Schenker notified the employer that the claimant's assignment was ended effective March 15. On April 3, the employer reached out to the claimant to offer her another assignment; however, the claimant did not respond to the voice message and has not requested any further assignments from the employer.

The administrative record reflects that claimant has received unemployment benefits in the amount of \$1,800.00, since reactivating a claim for benefits effective March 31, 2019, for the six weeks ending May 11, 2019. The administrative record also establishes that the employer notified the agency that the witness would not be available at the number on file and provided a different phone number where the witness could be reached. The fact-finder did not attempt to contact the witness at the number provided for rebuttal testimony.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1)j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

. . .

- j. (1) The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.
- (2) To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

- (3) For the purposes of this paragraph:
- (a) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their workforce during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (b) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working. The plain language of the statute allows benefits for a claimant "who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an assignment *and* who seeks reassignment." (Emphasis supplied.)

In this case, the claimant did not notify the employer of the end of the assignment, her continued availability for work, or make a request another assignment according to the employer's reporting policy. Therefore, she is considered to have quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

The administrative law judge further concludes that the claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7) provides, in relevant part:

Payment – determination – duration – child support intercept.

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10(1) provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871-subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which she was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits.

The employer will not be charged for benefits if it is determined that they did participate in the fact-finding interview. The employer will be deemed to have participated in the hearing if it provides the name and phone number of a firsthand witness to provide rebuttal testimony. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. Since the employer did participate in the fact-finding interview by providing the contact information for a witness, the claimant is obligated to repay to the agency the benefits she received and the employer's account shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The April 18, 2019, reference 03 unemployment insurance decision is reversed. The claimant's separation was not attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she works in and has been paid for wages equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Page 5 Appeal 19A-UI-03388-SC-T

The claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$1,800.00 and is obligated to repay the agency those benefits. The employer participated in the fact-finding interview and its account shall not be charged.

Stephanie R. Callahan Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

src/scn