IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

BRENT HUMBLE BOX 401 WELLMAN IA 52356

ACE ELECTRIC INC PO BOX 557 NORTH LIBERTY IA 52317-0557

Appeal Number:04A-UI-11614-ETOC:06-20-04R:OIaimant:Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the October 18, 2004, reference 02, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on December 9, 2004. The claimant participated in the hearing. Jeff Hess, Project Manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a temporary full-time electrician's helper for Ace Electric from July 1, 2004 to September 3, 2004. He walked off the job September 3, 2004, because he felt that the

foreman was treating him disrespectfully. He did not tell the employer about the problem or that he would quit if the situation did not improve prior to leaving the job site.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

In general, a voluntary guit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. 871 IAC 24.25. Leaving because of unlawful, intolerable, or detrimental working conditions would be good cause. 871 IAC 24.26(3), (4). Leaving because of dissatisfaction with the work environment is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(21). The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code Section 96.6-2 (amended 1998). While the foreman may have talked to the claimant in a harsh manner, the incident as described by the claimant does not rise to the level of unlawful, intolerable, or detrimental working conditions as defined by lowa law. The claimant was also upset because the position paid less than his previous job and that contributed to his feeling that he did not have to put up with a foreman yelling at him. The claimant was aware of the rate of pay at the time he accepted the position and therefore the fact that he was working for less than he generally earned is not part of the equation in determining whether his quitting was for good cause or his eligibility for benefits. Consequently, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant has not demonstrated that his leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The October 18, 2004, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

je/smc