## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
SANDRA Y LOPEZ DE LOPEZ Claimant	APPEAL NO: 18A-UI-09302-JC-T ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT	
	OC: 08/05/18 Claimant: Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work/ Benefits Eligibility Conditions Iowa Code Ch. 17A – Iowa Administrative Procedure Act Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.19(3) – Claims Bureau Subsequent Action Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.8(1) – Withdrawal of Appeal Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.11 - Motions

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the August 29, 2018, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that concluded she was ineligible for benefits. A telephone hearing was scheduled on September 24, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. Before the hearing date, the claimant received a favorable decision from Iowa Workforce Development, dated September 12, 2018, reference 04. This decision made the issues on appeal moot. Therefore, no testimony or additional evidence was necessary. No hearing is needed.

### **ISSUES:**

Should the most recent unemployment insurance decision be affirmed?

Should the appeal be dismissed as moot?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The pertinent agency documents relating to this claimant establish the following facts: The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the unemployment insurance decision dated August 29, 2018, reference 02, denying unemployment insurance benefits as of August 5, 2018. This decision stated that she did not meet the eligibility requirements because she had not proven citizenship or proof she is legally authorized to work in the United States.

A telephone hearing was scheduled for this appeal on September 24, 2018. Before the hearing date, the claimant received a favorable decision from Iowa Workforce Development, dated September 12, 2018, reference 04. The decision dated September 12, 2018, reference 04, specifically stated that she had established sufficient proof to legally work in the United States and eligibility, and allowed benefits without a gap in coverage.

This most recent decision made the only issue on appeal moot. Therefore, no testimony or additional evidence is necessary. No hearing is needed.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Generally, courts and administrative tribunals do not decide issues when the underlying controversy is moot. *Rhiner v. State*, 703 N.W.2d 174, 176 (Iowa 2005). "A case is moot if it no longer presents a justiciable controversy because the issues involved are academic or nonexistent." *Iowa Bankers Ass'n v. Iowa Credit Union Dep't*, 335 N.W.2d 439, 442 (Iowa 1983).

The decision appealed was specifically amended in favor of the appellant, making this appeal moot. The most recent decision, dated September 12, 2018, reference 04, is affirmed.

The claimant is reminded she should continue to file weekly claims for unemployment insurance benefits if needed, as she can only receive benefits for weeks in which she filed a valid claim.

#### **DECISION:**

The request to dismiss the appeal of the unemployment insurance decision dated August 29, 2018, (reference 02), is approved. The decision issued on September 12, 2018, reference 04, is affirmed. The appeal is dismissed as moot.

The hearing scheduled on September 24, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. is canceled.

Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/scn