IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

CLARISA BERNARD

Claimant

APPEAL 18A-UI-05072-DG-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP

Employer

OC: 04/08/18

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated April 23, 2018, (reference 02) that held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on May 17, 2018. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Karlina Morine, Human Resources Business Partner.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on April 6, 2018. Claimant resigned on that date because she was not satisfied with her employment.

Claimant began working for employer in 2016 as a sale representative. Claimant worked in employer's Fort Dodge, Iowa store through August, 2017. Claimant believed there were possibilities for promotion and a better salary at one of employer's stores located in Colorado. Claimant requested to be transferred to a store in Colorado, and that request was granted. Claimant moved with her three children to Colorado and began working there in September, 2017 for this same employer.

Claimant discovered that the opportunities she was seeking in Colorado were not going to occur in January, 2018. Claimant began negotiating with her store manager to request a transfer back to lowa at that time. Claimant wanted to move back to the Fort Dodge store, and her store manager in Colorado believed that would be possible. In March, 2018, claimant canceled her residential lease and planned to move with her three children back to lowa to work for this employer. Claimant requested to work in the Fort Dodge store because she had family connections and a place to live there.

In the latter part of March, 2018, claimant was notified by the corporate office that she could move back to lowa, but she would have to work in the Ames, lowa store. Claimant refused to accept that offer. Employer offered to allow her to keep working in Colorado, or in the

alternative she could move to Ames until a position opened up in Fort Dodge. Claimant did not want to accept employer's offers.

Claimant decided that she needed to resign from the employment in late March, 2018. Claimant gave her two week notice, and her last day of work was on April 6, 2018. Employer had continuing work available to her in Ames, Iowa or at its Colorado store. Claimant did not want to move to Ames even if it was a temporary situation, and she did not want to continue working in Colorado after she had canceled her residential lease and planned to move to Iowa.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has failed to establish that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because she was unhappy with her work environment.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(2) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(2) The claimant moved to a different locality.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(13) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(13) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the wages but knew the rate of pay when hired.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(21) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not

disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

It is the duty of the administrative law judge as the trier of fact in this case, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. *Arndt v. City of LeClaire*, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (Iowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *Id.* In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other believable evidence; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *Id.*

Given the stale dates of the other complaints, they are not individually addressed as claimant acquiesced to them by not raising concerns with her supervisor or quitting earlier when they arose.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980).

Individuals who leave their employment due to disparate treatment are considered to have left work due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions and their leaving is deemed to be for good cause attributable to the employer. The test is whether a reasonable person would have quit under the circumstances. See *Aalbers v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 431 N.W.2d 330 (lowa 1988) and *O'Brien v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (lowa 1993).

While claimant's leaving the employment may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated April 23, 2018, (reference 02) is affirmed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Duane L. Golden Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
dlg/scn	