BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

•	
٠	

RANDY S SCHLIE

HEARING NUMBER: 16B-UI-10300

Claimant

.

and

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION

•

ANNETT HOLDINGS INC

Employer

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-1, 24.25-4

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board, one member dissenting, finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kim D. Schmett		

DISSENTING OPINION OF JAMES M. STROHMAN:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the administrative law judge's decision. I would find that the Claimant's absences on August 10th and 11th were due to food poisoning. Although he didn't contact the Employer at the start of his shift, he did contact the Employer to apprise him of his situation. For this reason, I would conclude that the Claimant properly reported his absences that were due to illness under the circumstances, and that the two absences were not excessive. Benefits should be allowed provided the Claimant is otherwise eligible.

James M. Strohman

AMG/fnv