### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

BETHANY GRUNER Claimant

# APPEAL 21A-UI-13371-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CROSSMARK INC Employer

> OC: 03/14/21 Claimant: Respondent (4R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting 871 IAC 24.27 – Voluntary Leaving Part-Time Employment Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview PL116-136, Sec. 2104 – Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC)

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer/appellant, Crossmark Inc., filed an appeal from the May 27, 2021 (reference 01) lowa Workforce Development ("IWD") unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on August 4, 2021. The claimant, Bethany Gruner, did not respond to the notice of hearing to furnish a phone number with the Appeals Bureau and did not participate in the hearing. The employer participated through Teresa Hicks, HR manager. Employer Exhibits 1-14 were admitted. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative records. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

#### **ISSUES:**

Did claimant voluntarily quit the part-time employment with good cause attributable to employer?

Has the claimant been overpaid any unemployment insurance benefits, and if so, can the repayment of those benefits to the agency be waived?

Can any charges to the employer's account be waived?

Is the claimant eligible for Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation?

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was hired to be a part-time merchandiser. She worked one day, November 12, 2019, by doing online training and orientation. She notified her manager of her hours worked. She was then unresponsive to management contact and never returned to work. Continuing work was available.

The administrative record reflects that claimant has received unemployment benefits and Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits since establishing a claim

effective March 14, 2021. The administrative record also establishes that the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview or make a witness with direct knowledge available for rebuttal. Employer did not participate in the fact finding interview because it did not receive a notice of the fact finding interview or a telephone call.

The administrative record shows that the claimant has not requalified for benefits but has other base period wages. The record is unclear as to whether she is otherwise monetarily eligible.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer, has not requalified, but may be otherwise monetarily eligible.

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. They remain disqualified until such time as they requalify for benefits by working and earning insured wages ten times their weekly benefit amount. *Id*.

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. A voluntary quitting of employment requires that an employee exercise a voluntary choice between remaining employed or terminating the employment relationship. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980).

The claimant has the burden of proof to establish she quit with good cause attributable to the employer, according to Iowa law. "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Industrial Relations Commission*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. App. 1973).

Ordinarily, "good cause" is derived from the facts of each case keeping in mind the public policy stated in Iowa Code section 96.2. *O'Brien v. EAB*, 494 N.W.2d 660, 662 (Iowa 1993)(citing *Wiese v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 389 N.W.2d 676, 680 (Iowa 1986)). "The term encompasses real circumstances, adequate excuses that will bear the test of reason, just grounds for the action, and always the element of good faith." *Wiese v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 389 N.W.2d 676, 680 (Iowa 1986) "[C]ommon sense and prudence must be exercised in evaluating all of the circumstances that lead to an employee's quit in order to attribute the cause for the termination." *Id.* 

Claimant in this case abandoned her job, without explanation, after one day of online training. Based on the evidence presented, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant may have had good personal reasons to quit but has not established she quit for good cause attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Iowa Code section 96.5(1)g provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

g. The individual left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer under circumstances which did or would disqualify the individual for benefits, except as provided in paragraph "a" of this subsection but, subsequent to the leaving, the individual worked in and was paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.27 provides:

Voluntary quit of part-time employment and requalification. An individual who voluntarily quits without good cause part-time employment and has not requalified for benefits following the voluntary quit of part-time employment, yet is otherwise monetarily eligible for benefits based on wages paid by the regular or other base period employers, shall not be disqualified for voluntarily quitting the part-time employment. The individual and the part-time employer which was voluntarily quit shall be notified on Form 655323, Unemployment Insurance Decision, that benefit payments shall not be made which are based on the wages paid by the part-time employer and benefit charges shall not be assessed against the part-time employer's account; however, once the individual has met the requalification requirements following the voluntary quit without good cause of the part-time employer, the wages paid in the part-time employment shall be available for benefit payment purposes. For benefit charging purposes and as determined by the applicable requalification requirements, the wages paid by the part-time employer shall be transferred to the balancing account.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.5(1)g.

Inasmuch as claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer, the separation is disqualifying. However, the claimant has not requalified for benefits since the separation and may be otherwise monetarily eligible according to base period wages. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of this employer shall not be charged. Because claimant is allowed benefits and this employer is not chargeable, the issues of overpayment and relief of charges are moot.

The issue of whether claimant is monetarily eligible based upon other base period wages is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation.

### **DECISION:**

The May 27, 2021 (reference 01)), decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer and has not requalified for benefits but may be otherwise monetarily eligible. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of this employer shall not be charged.

**REMAND**: The issue of whether claimant is monetarily eligible based upon other base period wages is remanded to the Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation.

Jennigu &. Beckman

Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

<u>August 9, 2021</u> Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/lj