IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

SYDNEY E RINNER

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-06304-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

LUTHER CARE SERVICES/HOMES FOR THE AGING

Employer

OC: 05/03/15

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able and Available Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d – Voluntary Leaving/Illness or Injury 871 IAC 24.25(35) – Separation Due to Illness or Injury

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the May 28, 2015, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on July 30, 2015. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Dorothy Donaldson, Director of Nursing. Claimant's Exhibit A was entered and received into the record.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant able to and available for work with this employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full time as a certified nursing aide beginning on April 16, 2015 through date of hearing as she remains employed. In her position as a CNA the claimant is required to be able to lift at least fifty (50) pounds. She is pregnant, (her due date is December 4, 2015) and has been given a restriction of no lifting over forty (40) pounds. The employer cannot allow her to work with that work restriction.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant is temporarily separated from the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in § 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in § 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of § 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under § 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that:

"Insofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits."

White v. Employment Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (Iowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (Iowa 1983)).

The statute provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or **pregnancy** upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and **after recovering** from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible. lowa Code § 96.5(1)(d).

Section 96.5(1)(d) specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is fully recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. White, 487 N.W.2d at 346; Hedges v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

The claimant's pregnancy is not a work-related condition. Thus, she must meet the requirements of the administrative regulation cited above. Claimant has not been released to return to full work duties and employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work-related medical condition, including her lifting restrictions during her pregnancy. Accordingly, the separation is without good cause attributable to the employer and benefits must be denied. The claimant is not considered able to and available for work effective May 3, 2015.

DECISION:

tkh/css

The May 28, 2015 (reference 03) decision is affirmed. The claimant temporarily separated from the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant works in and has been paid wages equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible or until such time as claimant obtains a full release to return to regular duties without restriction, offers services to the employer, and the employer has no comparable, suitable work available. The claimant is not able to and available for work effective May 3, 2015.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	