IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

SHERYL R FALL Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-06305-AT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IAC IOWA CITY Employer

> Original Claim: 01/03/10 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Overpayments Section 96.6-2 – Timely Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated February 2, 2010, reference 01, that ruled she had been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$191.00 for the week ending January 9, 2010, because of a fact-finding decision holding her ineligible for those benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held May 19, 2010, with the claimant participating. Teresa Feldman participated for the employer IAC Iowa City. Exhibit D-1, the claimant's appeal letter, and Employer Exhibit 1 were admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

Has the claimant filed a timely appeal?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The decision from which the claimant has appealed states that it would become final unless an appeal was postmarked by February 12, 2010, or received by the Agency by that date. The appeal was filed on April 26, 2010. The claimant had received the decision in time to file a timely appeal.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether the administrative law judge has jurisdiction to rule on the merits of this case. He does not.

lowa Code section 96.6-2 gives parties ten days from the date of a fact-finding decision to file an appeal. The Supreme Court of Iowa has ruled that the time limit in the statute is jurisdictional See <u>Franklin v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). In the absence of a timely appeal, the administrative law judge has no authority to change an earlier decision, even if he disagrees with it. The evidence in this record persuades the administrative law judge that the appeal in this case is not timely. The earlier decision has become final.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated February 2, 2010, reference 01, has become final. The claimant has been overpaid \$191.00 for the week ending January 9, 2010. The overpayment has been repaid.

Dan Anderson Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

kjw/kjw