IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

LEWIS B OLIPHANT Claimant

APPEAL 22A-UI-17061-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

SAFELITE SOLUTIONS LLC Employer

OC: 08/07/22 Claimant: Respondent (4R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from the September 6, 2022 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits finding claimant was discharged on August 9, 2022 for no disqualifying reason. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 7, 2022. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Larry Campbell, Hearing Representative. Annette Kohl, Operations Director, was a witness for employer. No exhibits were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Whether claimant's separation was a discharge for disqualifying job-related misconduct.

Whether claimant was overpaid benefits.

Whether claimant should repay those benefits and/or whether employer should be charged based upon its participation in the fact-finding interview.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Claimant was employed as a full-time Customer Service Representative from March 20, 2017 until his employment with Safelite Solutions ended on August 31, 2022. Claimant worked remotely Monday through Friday from 5:00 a.m. until 1:30 p.m. Claimant's direct supervisor was Elizabeth Bascom, Job Coach.

Employer requires remote employees to maintain reliable internet service at their work location; the service must be hardwired (i.e. note Wi-Fi). If remote employees are unable to work, they are required to notify employer by calling a specified telephone number. Claimant was aware of these requirements.

On or about August 11, 2022, claimant began having issues completing his work remotely. Employer believed it was an internet service issue. Claimant had internet service and confirmed with his internet service provider that his connection was good. This led claimant to believe it was an issue with employer's system. Employer told claimant that he had 14 days to obtain reliable internet or he would be terminated. Claimant attempted to coordinate telephone calls

between his internet service provider and employer's Information Technology department to resolve the issue.

On August 26, 2022, employer contacted claimant to determine if the issue had been corrected because employer expected claimant to return to work on August 29, 2022. Claimant informed employer that the issue had not been corrected. Employer again told claimant that he would be discharged if he did not have reliable internet.

On August 29, 2022, claimant was unable to work due to ongoing technology issues. Claimant notified employer of his absence. Claimant also informed employer that he changed his internet service provider and should be able to work on August 30, 2022.

On August 30, 2022, claimant was still unable to work due to technology issues even though he changed internet service provider and had no issues with his internet connection. Claimant notified employer of his absence.

On August 31, 2022, claimant was still unable to work due to the ongoing technology issues. Claimant notified employer of his absence by leaving a voicemail message. Claimant stated that he believed he was now terminated and asked employer to contact him if that was not the case. Claimant did not receive a response from employer.

Claimant was scheduled to work September 1, 2022 and September 2, 2022. Claimant did not work and did not notify employer because he believed he was terminated. Employer considered September 1, 2022 and September 2, 2022 to be no-call/no-shows and terminated claimant's employment on September 2, 2022.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes:

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) provides:

An individual shall be *disqualified for benefits:*

2. *Discharge* for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)d(2) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "*misconduct*" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or even design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

(2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982).

A determination as to whether an employee's act is misconduct does not rest solely on the interpretation or application of the employer's policy or rule. A violation is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy. The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). What constitutes misconduct justifying termination of an employee and what misconduct warrants denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two separate decisions. *Pierce v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (Iowa Ct. App. 1988).

Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. Such misconduct must be "substantial." *Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). The law limits disqualifying misconduct to substantial and willful wrongdoing or repeated carelessness or negligence that equals willful misconduct in culpability. *Lee v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 616 N.W.2d 661 (lowa 2000).

Claimant maintained internet service at his remote work location and notified employer when he was unable to work remotely. Claimant made a good faith effort to correct the technology issues that kept him from performing his work remotely. Claimant did not knowingly violate employer's remote work policy.

Claimant was discharged on August 31, 2022 based upon employer's prior statements and lack of response to claimant's August 31, 2022 message. Claimant did not willfully or wantonly disregard employer's interest or deliberately violate or disregard standards of behavior employer had a right to expect of him. Employer has not met its burden of proving claimant was discharged for disqualifying work-related misconduct. Claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Because claimant's separation is not disqualifying, the issues of overpayment, repayment and charges are moot.

DECISION:

The September 6, 2022 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is MODIFIED IN FAVOR OF APPELLANT. Claimant was discharged on August 31, 2022 for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed provided claimant is otherwise eligible. The issues of overpayment, repayment and charges are moot.

REMAND:

The issues of whether claimant was totally, partially or temporarily unemployed and able to and available for work between August 7, 2022 and August 31, 2022 are remanded to Iowa Workforce Development's Benefits Bureau for an initial investigation and decision.

Adrienne C. Williamson Administrative Law Judge

November 16, 2022 Decision Dated and Mailed

scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.

- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.

2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.

3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.

4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.