IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

ROBIN L MUNSTERMAN

Claimant

APPEAL 18A-UI-06188-DG-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PILOT TRAVEL CENTERS LLC

Employer

OC: 11/26/17

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) - Able to Work - illness, injury or pregnancy

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) - Availability Disqualifications

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated June 1, 2018, (reference 01) that held claimant not able to and available for work. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on June 21, 2018. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Paul Bell, General Manager.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant is able and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant began working for employer on January 8, 2018, as a part-time cashier. In late April, 2018 she began having issues with pain in her foot. Claimant is required to stand during her Five hour shifts, and she was finding it difficult to stand that long. Claimant called into work and requested time off because of the pain she was experiencing.

Claimant received a foot injury prior to working for this employer. Claimant's job required her to stand during most of her shift each day. Claimant thought she could stand for Five hours at a time, but she began experiencing pain and discomfort in April, 2018. Claimant met with employer and discussed her medical issues. She explained that she had sustained an injury prior to beginning work for employer, and she sought treatment from her physician at that time.

Claimant was placed on a medical leave of absence on or about May 7, 2018. Claimant was instructed to seek medical attention, and to fill out FMLA paperwork to see if she qualified for a medical leave of absence. Claimant is currently on an unpaid medical leave of absence, and she is working on her FMLA application. She has seen her doctor, and will be forwarding those documents to her employer in the next week. Employer does have work available to claimant once she is able to return to work with no restrictions.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work effective May 6, 2018.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1)a provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(35) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

To be able to work, "[a]n individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood." Sierra v. Employment Appeal Board, 508 N.W.2d 719, 721 (Iowa 1993); Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged, 468 N.W.2d 223 (Iowa 1991); Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1). "An evaluation of an individual's ability to work for the purposes of determining that individual's eligibility for unemployment benefits must necessarily take into consideration the economic and legal forces at work in the general labor market in which the individual resides." Sierra at 723. The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that "[i]nsofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment

benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Subsection d of Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

The Court found no separation from employment and allowed partial benefits where claimant's work aggravated chronic lung disease prevented him from full-duty work but he reported daily for assignments as available. *FDL Foods v. Emp't Appeal Bd. and Lambers*, 460 N.W.2d 885 (lowa Ct. App. 1990).

The Supreme Court ruled that a claimant with a non-work related injury was not able to and available for work and that section 96.5(1)d was not applicable when she returned to work with a restricted release, could not perform her prior job and could not establish any other type of work of which she was capable. *Geiken v. Luthern Home for the Aged*, 468 N.W.2d 223 (Iowa 1991).

lowa Code § 216.6 (previously 601A.6) requires employers to make "reasonable accommodations" for employees with disabilities. Reasonable accommodation is required only to the extent that refusal to provide some accommodation would be discrimination itself. Reasonableness is a flexible standard measured in terms of an employee's needs and desires and by economic and other realities faced by the employer. *Sierra v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 508 N.W.2d 719 (Iowa 1993). See also, *Foods, Inc. v. Iowa Civil Rights Comm'n*, 318 N.W.2d 162 (Iowa 1982) and *Cerro Gordo Care Facility v. Iowa Civil Rights Comm'n*, 401 N.W.2d 192 (Iowa 1987).

Inasmuch as the medical condition is not work-related and the treating physician has not released the claimant to return to work with or without restriction, she has not established her ability to work while still an employee of this employer, beginning on May 6, 2018.

The employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work related medical condition. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a full medical release to return to work.

DECISION:

The June 1, 2018, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant is not able to work and available for work effective May 6, 2018. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant obtains a full medical release to return to work, offers her services to the employer, and no suitable, comparable work is available considering reasonable accommodation; or if she is involuntarily separated before that time.

Duano I. Goldon

Duane L. Golden Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/scn